DP2014/02

Recessions and Recoveries in New Zealand's Post-Second World War Business Cycles

Viv B. Hall and C. John McDermott

July 2014

JEL classification: E01, E24, E32

www.rbnz.govt.nz/research/discusspapers/

Discussion Paper Series

ISSN 1177-7567

DP2014/02

Recessions and Recoveries in New Zealand's Post-Second World War Business Cycles*

Viv B. Hall and C. John McDermott†

Abstract

We compute classical real GDP business cycles and growth cycles, contrast classical recessions with 'technical' recessions, and assess the sensitivity of our peaks and troughs to data revisions. Calling a technical recession after two successive quarters of negative growth can provide conditionally useful information. However, it can also signal beginning and end points for a recession that are somewhat different from those computed by our Bry and Boschan algorithm. Expansion and contraction phases of classical real GDP and employment cycles have, on average, had an 89 per cent association, but individual cycle circumstances should additionally be assessed. New Zealand's average pattern of recovery has differed from that for U.S. NBER cycles, but their most recent recession and recovery paths have been unusually similar. We also assess whether strength of recovery can be explained by length, depth or severity of previous recessions.

From our classical real GDP turning points, New Zealand's most recent recession commenced with the March 2008 quarter and ended with the June 2009 quarter. The duration of this six-quarter recession has been somewhat longer than the average recession of 4.3 quarters; but its 4.0 percentage depth has been considerably less than those for the 1951/52 and 1948 recessions, somewhat below that for the 1976/78 episode, and marginally less than the

* The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Reserve Bank of New Zealand. We thank the referee for perceptive comments, and acknowledge audience and discussant contributions from a presentation to Motu's Public Policy Seminar Series on 23 October 2013. We are also grateful to Brian Easton for his very helpful insights on key data periods, and for supplying his Haywood and Campbell diffusion index data during our construction of the preferred Hall and McDermott (2011b) data series.

† Viv Hall, School of Economics & Finance, Victoria University of Wellington, John McDermott, Reserve Bank of New Zealand, and School of Economics & Finance, Victoria University of Wellington. Corresponding author: Viv Hall, viv.hall@vuw.ac.nz.
ISSN 1177-7567

average depth of 4.1 per cent. In terms of overall severity, a measure which reflects duration and depth, this recession has been New Zealand's fourth most severe. Its cumulated GDP loss of 11.5 per cent has been greater than the average loss of 10.4 per cent, but less severe than the losses for 1951/52 (37.2 per cent), 1948 (15.6 per cent) and 1976/78 (12.8 per cent). The recovery path from New Zealand's most recent recession has differed from those of previous recoveries.

1 Introduction

In the wake of the global financial crisis, New Zealand has recorded a range of positive and negative real GDP growth rates, and markedly variable employment growth rates.

Against this background, we first present updated classical business cycle turning points and properties for the Hall and McDermott (2011b) quarterly real GDP series through to 2012q3. These results update those published in Hall and McDermott (2009). We then establish the number of two-or-more-quarter negative growth rate (or 'technical') recessions recorded for New Zealand's post-Second World War economy, and offer a set of growth cycle turning points similar to those published by the MIAESR (2013). This enables us to assess the extent to which New Zealand's classical and growth cycle contraction phases have been consistent with its technical recessions. We also explore the sensitivity of our peaks and troughs to Statistics New Zealand (SNZ) data revisions.

Next, we provide a set of classical employment cycle peaks and troughs from a linked quarterly Chapple (1994)-RBNZ-SNZ total employment series dating from 1956q1. This enables us to assess the degree of association between output and employment cycles.

Our assessments are considered in the context of the procedures used by the NBER's Business Cycle Dating Committee (NBER, 2010), who state that most but not all of their identified U.S. recessions consist of two or more quarters of declining real GDP, and that the committee neither relies on a simple rule of thumb such as two successive quarters of negative growth nor on real GDP alone.

The classical business cycle and employment cycle turning points reflect Bry–Boschan (1971) (BB) dating, and the growth cycle turning points reflect HP 1600 detrending and BB-assisted dating. The results for degree of association are obtained from the concordance-based methodology of Harding and Pagan (2002, 2006), as illustrated for New Zealand regional cycles in Hall and McDermott (2007).

From the above results, we can then address questions such as the following: (1) how often in New Zealand's post-Second World War sample period would calling a technical recession have provided potentially misleading signals to

decision makers?; (2) would the publishing of growth cycle peaks and troughs have added greater confusion or further enlightenment?; (3) how robust have our classical and technical recession turning points been to revisions in SNZ's data observations and data series?; (4) have New Zealand's classical real GDP and employment cycles been closely associated, and should employment peaks and troughs additionally be taken into account when calling the beginning and end of a recession?; and (5) to what extent have the length, depth and severities of New Zealand's recessions and strengths of recoveries differed over time, and does the length, depth or severity of preceding recessions matter for subsequent economic recovery? Also, (6), how different has the recovery path from New Zealand's most recent recession been?

With respect to these questions, literature on recoveries from recessions has been relatively modest (e.g. Wynne and Balke (1992), Sichel (1994), Balke and Wynne (1995)), but in the context of the recent global financial crisis there has been some resurgence (e.g. IMF (2009), Reinhart and Rogoff (2009), Hall (2010, 2011), Claessens et al., (2011, 2012), Mussa (2010), Bordo and Haubrich (2012), Dominguez and Shapiro (2013), and Ng and Wright (2013)) .

The paper is structured as follows: Section 1 has introduced. Section 2 provides evidence on the three sets of real GDP business cycles, assesses the credibility of calling technical recessions from two or more quarters of negative growth, and considers the robustness of our business cycle turning points to data revisions. In section 3, classical employment cycle turning points and properties are presented and their degree of association with classical output cycles is assessed. Section 4 presents evidence on recession, recovery and expansion phases, assesses whether strength of recovery from recessions can be associated with recession severity, and provides evidence from two recent New Zealand business cycle recoveries. Section 5 concludes. The Appendix provides the Hall-McDermott (2011b) quarterly real GDP data updated to 2012q3, and the linked quarterly Chapple (1994)-RBNZ-SNZ total employment series dating from 1956q1.

2 New Zealand's real GDP business cycles, and the credibility of calling 'technical' recessions

In 1946, Arthur Burns and Wesley Mitchell (1946, p 3) of the U.S. National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) advanced their now widely-recognised definition of a business cycle, namely that "Business cycles are a type of fluctuation found in the aggregate activity of nations; … a cycle consists of

expansions occurring at about the same time in many economic activities, followed by similarly general … contractions …". This definition recognises that every business cycle will have a *peak*, a *trough*, an *expansion phase* between its trough and peak, and a *contraction phase* between its peak and trough.

The NBER (2010) also refers to a *recession* as a period between a peak and a trough, though in a more detailed sense consider a recession as a "… significant decline in economic activity spread across the economy, lasting more than a few months, normally visible in production, employment, real income, and other indicators." They further state that most but not all of their identified U.S. recessions consist of two or more quarters of declining real GDP, and that the committee relies neither on a simple rule of thumb such as two successive quarters of negative growth nor on real GDP alone.

There is no universally accepted way of operationalising the measurement of these business cycle characteristics, though two widely utilised types of cycle are the classical cycle and the growth cycle. Empirical results for our updated New Zealand real GDP series are considered for each of these two broad categories of cycle, along with a set of technical recessions called from two or more quarters of negative real GDP growth.

2.1 The Classical business cycles and their properties

A *Classical* cycle is concerned with movements in the *levels* (or log levels) of an aggregate economic series such as real GDP, and since 1971 economists have successfully used computer algorithms to automate the NBER method of dating turning points. It is also the case that for nearly 20 years in New Zealand, either BB or BBQ quarterly adaptations of the simple, transparent, and readily replicated Bry and Boschan (1971) methodology have been used successfully to assist in dating quarterly classical turning points in real GDP, aggregate economic activity and regional economic activity series.

In this paper, our primary focus is on results which come from a BB algorithm, details of which can be found in those previous applications (Kim, Buckle and Hall, 1995; and Hall and McDermott, 2007)[3]. This is in the spirit of what King

[3] This BB algorithm was written in RATS by Dr Kunhong Kim. The initial version of the program was written to replicate successfully Bry and Boschan monthly results, and was then adapted for quarterly data to reflect what King and Plosser (1994, p 411) have described as BB's handling of quarterly data in a way similar to that of Burns and Mitchell (1946), " … by

and Plosser (1994, p 410) have described as the Bry and Boschan general procedure of looking for turning points in a smoothed seasonally adjusted series " … so as not to be misled by 'erratic' movements." Harding and Pagan (2002, p 368, fn 4) have since similarly characterised the smoothing aspect as " … simply aiding in the process of identifying peaks and troughs through the removal of some idiosyncratic variation.", but then went on to suggest that the benefit of smoothing could be 'much reduced' if dating were being done with quarterly data. This led them to write their now-widely used BBQ program, which ignores the smoothing element of the monthly BB program (Harding and Pagan, 2002, pp 368-69). For our quarterly New Zealand real GDP data, we find that for almost all episodes the BB and BBQ programs produce identical results. Two exceptions are: (i) BB calls a four-quarter recession from a 1987q4 peak, as against BBQ producing a nine-quarter recession from a peak of 1986q3; and (ii) BB does not call the short two-quarter recession from a 2010q2 peak, whereas BBQ does. In both cases, the difference can be attributed to the absence or presence of the smoothing element. New Zealand introduced a previously announced GST of ten per cent from 1 October 1986, and the resulting two-quarter upward spike in real GDP for 1986q2 and 1986q3 was followed by a major downward spike in 1986q4. We have classified the quarters directly affected by the introduction of the ten per cent GST as 'erratic' movements rather as quarters of recession. Inspection of successive releases of SNZ data for 2010 suggests that any short two-quarter recession from the 2010q2 peak called by BBQ but not by BB is a relatively marginal call, again directly related to excluding or retaining the smoothing element. Hence, on balance, the methodological approach adopted in this paper has been to present preferred turning points as coming from the BB program (which includes BB's smoothing element), and to make transparent periods where the BB and BBQ programs produce different results (e.g. Tables 5, 6).

From our results using the BB algorithm and SNZ's real GDP data release of 20 December 2012, we therefore identify eight peak-to-peak classical real GDP cycles for New Zealand's post-Second World War period (Table 1)[4]. These cycles have an average expansion phase of almost 6.5 years, and an average contraction phase of just over one year. The average expansion phase has

---

simply setting each month of the quarter equal to the quarterly value and proceeding to set the series as monthly."

[4] Utilising the BBQ method, Hall and McDermott (2009, Table 1) included in their 'benchmark' turning points a peak at 1958q2 and a trough at 1959q1. This reflected the BBQ program not including a smoothing element. The BB program used in this paper includes the smoothing element, and so leads to what was a very marginal call of a 3-quarter 1958-59 contraction by the BBQ method not being called by the BB method.

therefore been considerably longer than the average contraction phase, though individual cycles should obviously be considered in the context of New Zealand's business cycles and phases having continued to display considerable variation around averages, especially over expansion phases (Figure 1, top panel). The average expansion phase duration of 25.9 quarters has a standard deviation of 17.1 quarters, and the average contraction phase of 4.3 quarters has a standard deviation of 1.7 quarters.

This considerable individual cycle diversity is not dissimilar to that experienced by Australia. For example, taking New Zealand's cycles for the 1960-2011 period of Australia's monthly classical cycles (MIAESR, 2013), and bearing in mind that the Australian figures exclude the still incomplete expansion phase of their current cycle, the average cycles, expansion and contraction durations have been 6.5, 5 and 1.5 years for Australia, and 8.1, 7.1 and 1 years for New Zealand. Their standard deviations are also not dissimilar: 4.2, 4.3 and 0.3 years for Australia and 4.3, 4.2 and 0.4 years for New Zealand.

From the classical real GDP turning points, we confirm that New Zealand's most recent recession commenced with the March 2008 quarter and ended with the June 2009 quarter. The *duration* of this six-quarter recession has been somewhat longer than the average post-Second World War recession of 4.3 quarters; but its 4.0 percentage *depth* (amplitude) has been considerably less than those for the 1951/52 and 1948 recessions, somewhat below that for the 1976/78 episode, and marginally less than the average depth of 4.1 per cent.

But what of the overall *severity* of the eight recessions? The Harding and Pagan (2002) and Pagan (2005, p11) measure of cumulated gain or loss can be used to reflect both the combined duration and depth of a recession, and by this measure, New Zealand's most recent recession has been its fourth most severe. Its cumulated GDP loss of 11.5 per cent has been greater than the average loss of 10.4 per cent, but less severe than the losses for 1951/52 (37.2 per cent), 1948 (15.6 per cent) and 1976/78 (12.8 per cent). (Table 2)

2.2 How many technical recessions?

When we compute turning points from the easy-to-follow, frequently–used practice of calling a recession immediately after two successive quarters of negative real GDP growth have been published, we obtain 11 completed peak-to-peak cycles and 12 contractionary phases. This compares with the eight classical cycles and nine contractionary phases computed from the BB method

(Table 3). The additional three short technical recessions of two, four and two quarters would have been for 1975q3 to 1975q4, 1989q3 to 1990q2, and most recently for the 2010q3 to 2010q4 period[5]. Also, the troughs of the 1951/52 and 1988 recessions would have been called two quarters earlier at 1951q4 and 1988q2, and the beginning of the 1967 recession would have been called two quarters later at 1967q3.

On this evidence, do two or more quarters of negative real GDP growth always constitute a recession? The short answer is 'not always', though this should be further seen in the context of this procedure correctly calling six of the nine recessions, and the beginning quarter for eight of the nine recessions. The NBER (2010) provide illustrations as to why their Dating Committee will not accept unconditionally the two-quarter convention, including because they require evidence of a 'significant decline in economic activity spread across the economy', and wish to consider more than just real GDP series and more than just 'product-side' GDP estimates.

Hence, although we show that the commonly-used practice of calling a technical recession can provide conditionally useful evidence, this procedure can also on occasions signal somewhat different beginning and end points for a recession. For example, the procedure matched exactly six of the nine Classical business cycle contraction phases identified by the Bry-Boschan method, but it also called three additional recessions and called differently by two quarters the timing of a beginning or end point for three of the nine recessions. This suggests that a signal provided by this procedure should not be used on its own for the formal calling of a recession.

2.3 What about growth cycles and growth cycle recessions?

A *growth cycle* reflects fluctuations in aggregate economic activity relative to an appropriate *trend* in the series. There are a considerable number of ways of 'detrending' individual series, and hence of getting the corresponding 'deviations-from-trend' growth cycles.

Here, we first detrend our real GDP series, utilising the well-known HP 1600 procedure previously used successfully for New Zealand series reported in Kim, Buckle and Hall (1994, 1995), and Hall, Kim and Buckle (1998). We then use

[5] Neither the BB nor BBQ methods picked these 1975 and 1989/90 technical recessions, though as stated in section 2.1 above the BBQ method did call 2010q3 and 2010q4 as a two-quarter recession.

the BB algorithm to identify turning points in the detrended series (Tables 3, 4; Figure 1, bottom panel).

Perhaps not surprisingly, given that movements in New Zealand's real GDP series are relatively volatile by international standards, the use of this growth cycle methodology would have led to calling 15 completed peak-to-peak cycles compared with only eight classical cycles, and would have recorded 16 potential post-Second World War recession periods with an average duration of 1.7 years and a standard deviation of 8.4 months. The average expansion phase is commensurately very much shorter, at 2.3 years relative to 6.5 years for the average classical cycle.

For two reasons, we do not proceed further with assessing this considerably greater number of much shorter cycles, significantly shorter expansion phases, and somewhat longer contraction phases. The first reason is methodological. As emphasised by Harding and Pagan (2002, pp 367-68), establishing classical cycles does not require detrending of the series, a procedure which can lead to growth cycle turning points and 'growth recessions' which vary considerably with the detrending method. Secondly, in a New Zealand empirical context, the illustrative growth recessions presented in Figure 1 may not be particularly useful for medium-term focussed fiscal and monetary policy makers or for private sector decision makers.

This is not to say, however, that the computation of sample-average growth cycle properties for the purposes of establishing key business cycle facts, to assist the calibration of modern DSGE and other macro models, will not remain a valuable exercise.

2.4 Robustness of our business cycle turning points to SNZ data revisions

In an earlier version of this paper (Hall and McDermott, 2011a, Tables 1, 6), our BB method dated New Zealand's most recent recession as commencing with the March 2008 quarter and concluding with the *March* 2009 quarter[6]. However, the BB results presented in this paper (Tables 1, 10) have this recession concluding with the *June* 2009 quarter, corresponding to a recession

[6] The linked series used in Hall and McDermott (2011a) included real GDP production based data from 1987q2 to 2010q3, released by SNZ on 23 December 2010 in their SNCQ series; the series used in this paper reflect the updated and revised SNDQ series released 20 December 2012,

of six rather than five quarters, and a depth of -3.90% instead of -3.45%. This led to the average duration for the nine post-War recessions increasing slightly from 4.2 to 4.3 quarters and the average depth being marginally greater at -3.95% instead of -3.93%.

Another partial example of sensitivity to SNZ data releases is provided in Sleeman (2006, Figure 2, and p 36), in the context of the 1997-98 recession. Her illustration for successive June 1998 quarter real expenditure based GDP releases led her to conclude that successive revisions over just a two year period could lead to a substantial effect on the timing and magnitudes of peaks and troughs in an economic cycle. More recently, in a U.S. context and in a finding similar to that of Hamilton (2011), Ng and Wright (2013, pp 1140, 1142) have suggested that one reason why computer algorithms tend to call business cycle peaks and troughs at around the same time as NBER Dating Committee announcements, is because U.S. real-time data are subject to large revisions.

To what extent, therefore, are the real GDP production-based turning points reported in this paper robust to SNZ data revisions and data series revisions?

We utilise the RBNZ's real-time quarterly real GDP data base described in Sleeman (2006), in association with the methodology developed in Hall and McDermott (2011b), to provide robustness-related insights on two questions: (1) have data and data series revisions materially affected our BB turning points?; and (2) has the two-negative-quarter/technical approach to calling recessions been more sensitive to revisions than has the BB algorithm approach? The latter question is illustrated in the context of the 1997-98 and 2008-09 recessions, and the two-quarter recession called for 2010 by the two-negative quarter approach.

On the first question, utilising SNCQ releases from 28/09/2001 to 22/09/2011, and SNDQ releases for 20/12/2012 and 19/12/2013, we find that our BB turning points are relatively robust to data revisions both *within* and *between* the SNCQ and SNDQ series releases (Table 5). In particular from these releases, there is no lack of robustness in BB's calling the 97q2 peak and the 98q2 trough for the 1997-98 recession; nor in not calling a two-quarter recession for 2010. Three sets of exceptions can be noted. These are firstly that the 28/09/2001 release called not only the pre-GST related spike in activity of 1986q3 as a peak ahead of the 1987-88 recession, but also called an additional three-quarter recession from 1989q3 to 1990q1 during the flat activity period of the mid-to late 1980s. Both these calls were then eliminated by the release of 22/12/2005, with the 1986q3 spike having been replaced by the currently called peak of

1987q4. Secondly, also within the SNCQ releases, the trough for the 2008-09 recession was not called from the 26/06/2009 and 23/09/2009 releases, but was then dated at 2009q1 by the 23/12/2010 and 22/09/2011 SNCQ releases. Then thirdly, and further in the context of the 2008-09 recession, calling the end point has not been robust between Table 5's final two SNCQ releases and the two SNDQ series releases. The 2008-09 recession is now dated as concluding with the June quarter of 2009, rather than with the March 2009 quarter[7]. The fact that both individual data and data series revisions have materially affected BB turning points for the 2008-09 recession confirms that for certain recessions, results from even the relatively robust BB algorithm should be treated with some caution until sometime after a recession end-point has been signalled[8].

For the second question, the simple two-negative-quarter/technical approach allows us to explore implications from real-time data releases back to 26/09/1997 (Table 7). This enables us to get both a longer-term and a much closer to real-time perspective on the effect of data revisions on the 1997-98 recession. First, however, it can be noted that its call from the SNDQ release of 20/12/2012 of a two-quarter recession from 2010q2 to 2010q4 has not been affected by the substantially revised data release of 19/12/2013, and secondly that the end point of the 2008-09 recession remains at 2009q2 for the SNDQ releases, different from the final three 2009q1 end-points shown for the SNCQ releases. Utilising the technical approach to call the 1997-98 recession in real-time would, however, have led to considerable uncertainty, as the earliest releases for that period led to material variation in dating the beginning and end of that recession. For example, no technical recession was called by the SNBQ releases for either 26/06/1998 or 25/09/1998, and the SNBQ releases from 23/12/1998 to 29/09/2000 then called a two quarter technical recession from 98q1 to 98q2. This was followed by three-quarter recessions called for 97q3 to

[7] Here, it can further be noted that the June 2009 trough is robust to the SNZ release of 19 December 2013. This release incorporated methodological changes that had the potential to lead to significant revisions to recent real GDP history. For details of these revisions, see http://www.stats.govt.nz/browse_for_stats/economic_indicators/NationalAccounts/revisions-nz-macroeconomic-accounts-2013.aspx.

[8] This exercise was also carried out using the BBQ algorithm (Table 6). Taking into account that the BBQ algorithm is more sensitive to quarterly 'erratic' movements/idiosyncratic variation and/or potentially recessionary periods of frequently alternating negative and positive growth rates, and bearing in mind the exceptions noted for the BB results, the BBQ turning points are perhaps also relatively robust to data revisions *within* the SNCQ and SNDQ series releases. This includes calling the 97q2 peak and the 98q2 trough for the 1997-98 recession, and (unlike the BB algorithm) calling a two-quarter recession for 2010 from the two SNDQ series releases. There is somewhat greater evidence of sensitivity between SNCQ and SNDQ releases.

98q1 from SNCQ releases 21/12/2000 through to 22/09/2011, and for our two SNDQ releases. Hence, while the technical approach has provided dating points for the 1997-98 recession which have been as robust as those from the BB algorithm for revisions associated with SNCQ and SNDQ releases, signals from the closer to 1997-98 real-time SNBQ releases would have created considerable uncertainty for forecasters and policy makers.

Overall, therefore, our turning points are relatively robust to data revisions, especially within data series releases, but for the technical approach there is also material sensitivity to revisions in relation to the 1997-98 recession, and for the BB and technical approaches to the 2008-09 recession as between the SNCQ and SNDQ series of releases.

3 New Zealand's employment cycles

Although the NBER Business Cycle Dating Committee does not have a fixed definition of 'economic activity', it considers 'economy-wide employment' as a key broad measure when finalising its business cycle turning points. This seems not least when its real GDP and real gross domestic income (GDI) measures are not providing sufficiently clear signals.

We therefore assess whether a measure of New Zealand's total employment might provide insights additional to those provided by our real GDP series. To do this we had to search for a credible quarterly total employment series which could extend back as least as far as the 1950s.

Claus (2011) has recently incorporated labour market indicators, so as to assess seven leading indicators of New Zealand employment, but with the relatively short sample period 1990q1 to 2005q3. Statistics New Zealand's (SNZ) Household Labour Force Survey (HLFS) series extend further back but only as far as 1986q1, and are therefore also too short on their own for our purposes. Fortunately, however, Simon Chapple (1994) has published a number of HLFS-consistent series back to 1956q1, and from this and the corresponding Chapple total employment observations available in electronic form from the RBNZ's website, we are able to use what we refer to as a linked Household Labour Force Survey (HLFS)-consistent Chapple-RBNZ-SNZ total employment series to compute classical employment cycle turning points and associated properties[9].

[9] Chapple's HLFS-consistent series were published as de-seasonalised, but our graphing of his employment series showed that there still remained a very significant seasonal pattern.

The use of this sample period has the advantage of extending quarterly total employment observations sufficiently far back so as to cover six of our eight completed Classical real GDP cycles, i.e. they exclude only the relatively unusual 1948 and 1951/52 contraction phases[10].

3.1 The Classical employment cycles

If we take the period to the end of 2011, the numbers of peak-to–peak employment cycles and associated expansion and contraction phases are the same as those for our classical GDP cycles, i.e. six cycles, six expansion phases and seven contraction phases (Table 8; Figure 2, bottom panel). Their average durations are also very similar.

However, more recently, employment has peaked at 2012q1, ahead of the yet-to-be determined next real GDP peak, and this has created a seventh employment expansion phase, equal to the number of completed contraction phases. In this context, it is noticeable that while the average durations for employment and real GDP cycles, expansion and contraction phases have remained relatively similar, the average standard deviations for employment cycles and phases have been considerably higher than for their real GDP counterparts.

Moreover, visual inspection of the recessions shaded in the two panels of Figure 2 reveals that the average properties fail to highlight different timings and durations for a number of the individual cycles. For example, employment troughs lag output troughs for six of our seven cycle troughs, but employment peaks have variously led, lagged or been contemporaneous with output peaks. It is also worth noting that employment peaks have led real GDP peaks on three occasions, the most recent being for the employment peak of 2012q1.

So, can one get a summary guiding rule as to the extent to which employment peaks and troughs might have led, lagged or been contemporaneous with those for real GDP?

Accordingly, the results we present reflect our having run the employment series through Eviews' X13 program. See Figure 2, bottom panel, and Appendix Table A2 for the resulting X13 seasonally adjusted linked total employment series.

[10] On the relatively unusual nature of these two cycles, and the cautionary comments on our real GDP series observations prior to 1954, see Hall and McDermott (2011, s 6)

3.2 How associated are output and employment cycles?

We have previously used the simple non-parametric concordance statistic of Harding and Pagan (2002, 2006) to establish the statistical significance of associations between New Zealand's aggregate and regional economic activity cycles (Hall and McDermott, 2007). We again follow this methodology.

A concordance statistic describes the proportion of time during which two series for which one has cyclically dated turning points, are in the same phase of expansion or contraction. In our case, we assign a value of one when both the real GDP series (x_i) and the employment series (x_j) are expanding or contracting, and award a value of zero otherwise. Then, following Harding and Pagan (2002), we let $S_{i,t}$ be a binary random variable with value one when the classical cycle for the real GDP series is in an expansion phase and zero when it is in a contraction phase; similarly, $S_{j,t}$ is the binary random variable for the employment series. The index of concordance for these two series then becomes

$$C_{ij} = T^{-1}\left\{\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(S_{i,t} \cdot S_{j,t}\right) + \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(1 - S_{i,t}\right)\left(1 - S_{j,t}\right)\right\}$$

where T is the sample size, and C_{ij} is the measure of the proportion of time the two series are in the same phase. By way of interpretation, this means that the real GDP series would be in the same expanding or contracting phase exactly pro-cyclically if C_{ij} had value one, and exactly counter-cyclical if C_{ij} were to have value zero.

We are, however, interested not only in the magnitude of the concordance statistic but also in its statistical significance. To obtain the corresponding tests for significance, we again follow a procedure suggested by Harding and Pagan (2002), and as outlined more fully in Hall and McDermott (2007, section 2.2). The procedure involves using a GMM estimator, with moment condition

$$E\left(\left(S_{i,t} - \bar{S}_{i,t}\right)\left(S_{j,t} - \bar{S}_{j,t}\right) - a\right) = 0,$$

where $\bar{S}_{i,t}$ is the mean of the real GDP time series $S_{i,t}$, and the test of significance is whether $a = 0$.

From our concordance statistic measures, we find that our classical employment

cycle series have been in expansion or contraction phase procyclically with the real GDP series 89 per cent of the time, and the strongest statistical significance occurs where employment cycle turning points lag those of output cycles by one quarter (Table 9). However, it should also be borne in mind that contemporaneous and two quarter lag specifications both have statistically significant associations of 87 per cent.

3.3 Should employment peaks and troughs additionally be taken into account when calling the beginning and end of a recession?

The empirical evidence presented immediately above suggests that while the expansion and contraction phases of real GDP and employment cycles have, *on average*, been closely associated, it has also not been the case for every individual cycle that the expansion and contraction phases for employment have lagged real GDP phases by one quarter.

This suggests that if one is additionally considering movements in total employment for the purposes of calling turning points for a recession, then as a minimum the circumstances particular to that cycle should also be assessed. But it should also be recognised that the above results are preliminary in nature, and there could be benefit from further investigation of the extent to which employment cycle information should or should not be taken into account formally when calling beginning and end periods for New Zealand's recession periods[11].

4 Recessions, recoveries and expansions

In the context of what Robert Hall (2011, pp 431-432) has recently termed the "Great [U.S.] Slump"[12] that commenced in late 2007, and what some others have referred to as the "Great Recession", there has been a resurgence of

[11] For an assessment of relative timing of 64 time series, including total employment, with respect to a deviation reference chronology over the period 1947-74, see Haywood and Campbell (1976).

[12] Hall (2011, pp 431-432) defines slumps broadly as "extended periods of low resource utilisation", and identified them specifically as periods when " … the employed fraction of the labor aged 25 through 54 … was less than its normal level of 95.5 per cent of the labor force." Thus, it would last from when employment falls below its normal level during a contraction phase and continue through to when employment regained its normal level during an expansion phase.

interest in recessions, recoveries and expansion phases, e.g. IMF (2009), Reinhart and Rogoff (2009), Hall (2010, 2011), Claessens et al., (2011, 2012), Mussa (2010), Bordo and Haubrich (2012), Dominguez and Shapiro (2013), and Ng and Wright (2013).

In early work using U.S. data for the period 1950q1 to 1992q4, Sichel (1994) investigated whether recessions have typically been followed by high-growth recoveries back to pre-recession levels. He concluded in the affirmative (p 276).

Also in earlier work, Wynne and Balke (1992) addressed the question of whether *deep* recessions in the U.S. over the period 1884-1990 had been followed by strong recoveries. They found a statistically significant relationship between the size of the peak-to-peak decline and growth in the twelve-month period following the trough, and that recession length had not significantly affected the strength of recovery.

Against this background, the results reported in sections 4.1 and 4.2 provide a preliminary perspective on the extent to which there may have been associations between the length, depth, or severity of New Zealand's post-Second World War recessions, and recovery and expansion phases[13]. In section 4.3, we provide brief commentary on the real GDP recession and recovery paths from New Zealand's 1991 and 2008/09 recessions. Then, in section 4.4 we illustrate, as have Sichel (1994), Balke and Wynne (1995), Hall (2010, 2011), and Mussa (2011) for the U.S., and Boivin (2011) for Canada, the behaviour of key GDP expenditure components for New Zealand's post-1991q2 and post-2009q2 recovery phases.

4.1 To what extent have the depths and severities of New Zealand's recessions and strengths of recoveries differed over time?

Depths of recessions, and *strengths* of recovery and expansion phases can be illustrated by amplitude per quarter or equivalent annualised measures (Table 10).

The average annualised amplitude (or *depth*) for New Zealand's nine

[13] For recent narrative commentary on factors associated with New Zealand's post-Second World War recessions, see Reddell and Sleeman (2008), and brief paragraphs in Hall and McDermott (2009, ss 3, 4, 6; and 2011b, s 5.2).

post-Second World War *recessions* has been -3.8 per cent, though if the two deepest recessions from 1948q1 and 1991q1 are excluded the average is reduced to -3.0 per cent. The average is reduced further to -2.5 per cent if the third most severe recession from 1951q1 is excluded, a figure which is closer to but still greater than the average of -2.2 per cent found by Claessens et al (2012, Table 1) for 21 "advanced" OECD countries for the period 1960q1 to 2010q4.

New Zealand's most recent recession of six quarters has been longer than the average of 4.3 quarters, its depth of -3.90 per cent has been a shade shallower than the average of -3.95 per cent, and its annualised depth of -2.6 per cent ranks fifth, considerably less than the average of –3.8 per cent, and far less deep than the -7.7 per cent and -6.1 per cent figures for the recessions from 1948q1 and from 1991q1. The two shallowest recessions of 1988 and 1998 registered -1.3 and -1.7 per cent respectively (Table 10, top panel).

With respect to *recovery phases* relative to expansion phases, it is first important to make clear the definition used for "recovery". Researchers have variously used the number of quarters from trough back to previous peak (Claessens et al., 2012; Sichel, 1994), and fixed periods such as the initial four quarters (Wynne and Balke, 1992) or initial six quarters (Mussa, 2010). The recovery phases we present are from trough back to previous peak (Table 10, 3rd panel).

Not surprisingly, the average duration of New Zealand's nine completed recovery phases, at 6.6 quarters, is considerably below the average of 25.9 quarters for completed expansion phases. The average recovery phase is reduced to 5.8 quarters if the exceptionally long 13-quarter recovery from 1978q1 is excluded, but this average is still longer than Claessens et al's (2012, Table 1) advanced OECD country average of 4.3 quarters. However, New Zealand's average recovery amplitude has been 5.4 per cent relative to the 21-country OECD average of 3.1 per cent, and so the annualised average strength of New Zealand's recoveries of 4.0 per cent would also have been greater than that for the 21-country OECD average.

The durations of New Zealand's individual recovery periods have varied from a very short two quarters to a very lengthy 13 quarters. The strength of individual recoveries has also varied considerably, from a low annualised rate of 1.2 per cent after the 1988q4 trough and the modest rate of 2 per cent after the 2009q2, 1991q2 and 1978q1 troughs, to an exceptionally strong annualised rate of 10.8 per cent from 1948q4 and a robust 7.0 per cent post-1983q1.

4.2 Does the length, depth or severity of preceding recessions matter for subsequent economic recovery?

Once the trough of a particular business cycle becomes sufficiently clear, the attention of many economic decision makers focuses on the strength of the recovery and the sustainability of the expansion path. For example, could a country expect to see a stronger, more sustained recovery, if it had experienced a short deep recession rather than a prolonged shallow recession? We assess aspects of this issue in two ways.

The first approach is numerical and graphical in nature and assesses New Zealand's growth rates during expansion in the context of growth rates during the previous recession; the second evaluates regression results for our relatively small sample of nine recessions, to see whether a strength of recovery variable is significantly influenced any of our length, depth or severity of recession variables. In both cases, we refer briefly to results from U.S. data which come from similar approaches.

In the first case, our context for assessment involves measures for New Zealand's growth rates during recession and expansion (Table 11), and the finding of Sichel (1994) that U.S. recessions have typically been followed by high growth recoveries.

During New Zealand's nine post-Second World War recessions, the average annualised contraction in real GDP has been 3.8 per cent, followed by steadily increasing real GDP growth over the next two years, from 3.0 per cent during quarters 1 and 2 up to 5.5 per cent during quarters 7 and 8. This two-year recovery pattern is the opposite of that found by Sichel (1994, Figure 1) for average U.S. NBER contractions of around 2.1 per cent from 1950q1 to 1992q4. This opposite recovery pattern is confirmed when the data for Sichel's sample period is updated to be the same as for our New Zealand sample period of 1947q2 to 2012q3. (Figure 3, 1st and 3rd panels)[14].

A somewhat modified pattern is evident for New Zealand when its four deepest recessions are excluded from the averaging. (Figure 3, 2nd panel; Table 11).

[14] This opposite average two-year recovery pattern for the U.S. for the updated period has been maintained, despite the recovery from its most recent 2009 trough having been atypically slow (see, for example, Dominguez and Shapiro (2013), DS). DS have attributed this slowness primarily to successive financial shocks from Europe during 2010, 2012, and especially 2011.

Then the average annualised contraction for the five shallower recessions (varying from -1.3 to -2.6 per cent) is also 2.1 per cent, and the associated average recovery path becomes both more varied and more muted for the initial three years in particular. Overall, though, whether the four deepest New Zealand recessions are included in or excluded from the average, the average pattern of recovery has been in direct contrast to the average experience of immediately strong and subsequently declining recovery rates found for 1950 to 1992 and 1947 to 2012 U.S. NBER cycles. However, by way of contrast with this finding for average recovery paths is the fact that the most recent recession and recovery path to 2012q3 for New Zealand's real GDP has been remarkably similar to that for the U.S. (Figure 4)[15].

For our second approach, we adopt an extended form of the linear regression analysis conducted by Wynne and Balke (1992, s 3) for U.S. activity variables. Our three alternative dependent variables for strength of recovery are the growth rate over the first 12 months, the growth rate over the first two years, and the number of quarters taken to recover the previous peak. The three potential explanatory variables (apart from the constant term) are the growth rate during contraction, the duration of recession in quarters, and the Harding and Pagan (2005) severity of recession measure (Table 12). At the 5% or 1% levels, none of the growth rate during recession, duration of recovery, or severity of recovery variables is a significant explanatory variable for either growth rate in recovery variable[16]. This result is consistent with Wynne and Balke's finding from their small sample of 14 recessions that duration of recovery has no effect, but contrasts with their finding that the growth rate during contraction is a negatively significant influence at the 1% level on the rate of growth during the first 12 months of recovery in industrial production.

However, and still bearing in mind our very small sample of nine recessions, there is preliminary evidence at the 5% level of significance of a positive relationship between duration of recession (in quarters) and the recovery time (also in quarters) to the previous peak, i.e. the shorter the recession, the shorter the recovery time to previous peak, and vice versa.

---

[15] Ng and Wright (2013, ss 1, 2) are of the view that the three most recent recessions for the U.S. have been distinctively different, through having been associated in important ways with shocks having financial market origins.

[16] The significance at the 10% level of the growth-rate-during-contraction variable in the two-year cumulated growth rate equation is attributable to the outlier observation for the 1948 recession.

4.3 Recession and expansion paths from New Zealand's 1991 and 2008/09 recessions

It is well known that no two individual business cycles are the same in all respects, but it is also the case that some cycles may have certain features in common. To illustrate this, we found it informative to examine the recovery and expansion paths from New Zealand's 1991 two-quarter recession and its most recent 2008/09 six-quarter recession. In a very broad sense, one can say that the 1991 recession was associated with demand-side international (especially U.S. and Australian) contraction phases and contractionary New Zealand monetary and fiscal policies (Reddell and Sleeman, 2008), and a recovery path interrupted in the September 1992 quarter by electricity-generation restrictions. The 2008/09 recession has similarly been associated with global (financial crisis) activity contractions (Australia excepted) and then had its nascent recovery set back a considerable number of quarters by damage and disruption from the September 2010, February 2011, June 2011 and December 2011 Canterbury earthquakes.

These economic events resulted in a severe annualised decline in real GDP of 6.1 per cent during the 1991 recession, followed by an initially strong 1-2 quarter recovery rate of 2.0 per cent, subdued rates of 0.7 and 1.0 per cent during quarters 3 to 6, and the return to a powerful 7.8 per cent rate during quarters seven and eight. There was then good growth of between 5.5 and 3.6 per cent during years three through to six of the 24-quarter expansion phase through to 1997q2, when New Zealand's growth rate was affected by the Asian financial crisis (Table 11).

New Zealand's recovery from the 2008/09 recession began particularly strongly with a 4.4 per cent rate during the 1st two quarters. The recovery lost some momentum during the next two quarters (1.7 per cent), before recording an average negative growth rate (-1.4 per cent) for the following six months during which the September 2010 and February 2011 Canterbury earthquakes occurred. Growth momentum was then regained during quarters seven and eight (2.6 per cent) and during the third year of recovery (2.5 per cent).

The current recovery path remains incomplete, though the *production-based* real GDP peak of $35,400m (1995/96 prices) in the December 2007 quarter was regained in the September 2011 quarter ($35,574m). (Table 11, Note ††). This recovery-to-previous-peak of nine quarters is the second slowest for New Zealand's post-Second World War economy, surpassed only by the 13 quarters

taken from the 1978q1 trough, but not materially slower than for the eight quarters taken from the 1988q4 and 1952q2 troughs and the seven quarters from the 1991q2 trough. (Table 10, panel 3).

So, to what extent might the considerably interrupted recovery from the 2008/09 recession continue to regain momentum and eventually produce an expansion phase as sustained as that from 1991q2 to 1997q2, and if so what would movements in GDP expenditure components have to be, to be consistent with achieving this?

4.4 Severity of recessions and strength of recoveries, for New Zealand's real GDP expenditure components

Here, we provide a visual perspective on movements in key real GDP expenditure components which underpin the current recovery phase, relative to movements of the same components over the lengthy post-June 1991 recovery (Figure 5).

The cumulated movements in the recoveries of aggregate real GDP expenditure from their post-1990q4 and post-2007q4 business cycle peaks through to their previous peaks had, by the end of 9 quarters, been broadly similar (Figure 5, 1st panel). Then came the immediate effects in 2010q3, 2010q4 and 2011q1 of the 4 September 2010 and 22 February 2011 Canterbury earthquakes. These interrupted what had promised to be a reasonably steady recovery path. Recovery has since continued at somewhat variable rates, though 2012q3 real GDP is still well below what could have been the case had a 1990q4 to 1995q3-type path eventuated. (Figure 5, 1st panel).

But how have the paths of the major components of real GDP expenditure fared for the two recoveries? Not surprisingly, their paths have been somewhat different. The 1990s displayed relatively modest contributions from both net exports and the combined private investment and consumer durables components over the first 11 quarters; this is in contrast to the initially strong and then modest boost from net exports during the current cycle, offset by the prolonged and particularly strong negative contribution from investment and durables (Figure 5, 2nd panel). This combined private investment expenditure and durables component had still not regained its 2007q4 level by 2012q3.

The key demand-side drivers which sustained the 1990s expansion phase were the combined private investment and consumption durables component, and the

nondurables consumption component (Figure 5, 3rd panel). It has been made clear immediately above that the former has made no net positive contribution during this recovery, and although consumer nondurables regained its previous peak more quickly during this recovery (nine quarters versus 14 quarters), overall its recovery has proceeded at a much more modest rate than during the 1990s. (Figure 4, 3rd and 4th panels). It is also clear from the evidence for both recoveries that components such as inventories and net exports cannot be relied on to sustain an expansion, unless substantial and sustained increases in the production of export goods and services can be achieved.

Consumer durables had still not recovered particularly strongly by quarter 13 for either of the two cycles, but by the end of 19 quarters had become equivalently sustaining for the growth process (Figure 5, 5th and 6th panels). But what of the relatively different movements over the two recoveries for the general government and private (i.e. all sectors) investment and durables component? During the 1990s recession, the latter declined for only three quarters by a cumulated $921 million, and its sustained recovery began after seven quarters (Figure 5, 5th panel). However, during the most recent recession and recovery, first there was a contraction phase which lasted for eight quarters and in real terms cumulated to more than negative $2300 million. This was followed by an initially promising and then twice-interrupted recovery phase, with a cumulated contraction by 2012q3 of over $700 million (Figure 5, 6th panel). Up until 2010q3, general government investment had played a modestly supportive role relative to its role during the 1990s, but consumer durables had been somewhat more subdued as had non-residential investment. Residential construction had also been more subdued, but the key under-contributor both up until 201q3 and subsequently has been "other" investment (primarily transport investment, and plant and equipment investment). By way of contrast, this other investment component had provided a cumulated $825m boost by the end of quarter 19 during the 1990s recovery, considerably better than the cumulated negative $780m contribution during the current recovery's equivalent 19 quarters (Figure 5, 5th and 6th panels).

Hence, sustained increases in investment in "other" investment, but also in consumer durables and in consumer non-durables, ideally assisted by greater export volumes, will be necessary if the 1990s recovery and expansion phase is to be eventually emulated and then surpassed.

5 Conclusion

We provide an updated quarterly real GDP series for post-Second World War New Zealand. From this series, we present classical and growth cycle turning points, and a set of technical recession periods triggered by two or more successive quarters of negative growth. An associated set of classical employment cycles have also been developed.

From the classical real GDP turning points, we confirm that New Zealand's most recent recession commenced with the March 2008 quarter and ended with the June 2009 quarter. The *duration* of this six-quarter recession has been somewhat longer than the average post-Second World War contraction phase of 4.3 quarters, but its 4.0 percentage *depth* has been considerably less than those for the 1951/52 and 1948 recessions, somewhat below that for the 1976/78 episode, and marginally less than the average depth of 4.1 per cent. In terms of its overall *severity*, a measure which reflects both duration and depth, the recession has been New Zealand's fourth most severe. Its cumulated GDP loss of 11.5 per cent has been greater than the average loss of 10.4 per cent, but less severe than the losses for 1951/52 (37.2 per cent), 1948 (15.6 per cent) and 1976/78 (12.8 per cent).

We show that the commonly-used practice of calling a technical recession following the publication of two successive quarters of negative real GDP growth can provide conditionally useful evidence, but this procedure can on occasions also provide somewhat different signals as to a recession's beginning and end points. For example, the procedure matched exactly six of the nine classical business cycle recessions identified by the Bry-Boschan method, but it also called three additional recessions and called differently by two quarters the timing of a beginning point or end point for three of the nine recessions. This suggests that the evidence provided by this procedure should not be used on its own for formally calling the beginning and end of a recession.

Movements in New Zealand's real GDP series are relatively volatile by international standards. It's therefore not surprising that the use of growth cycle methodology would have led to calling 16 contraction phases or "growth recessions" rather than nine classical cycle recessions during the post-Second World War period. Publishing individual growth cycle recessions would therefore almost certainly have led to more confusion than clarity for economic decision makers.

On the sensitivity of our real GDP turning points to Statistics New Zealand data revisions, we find that our BB peaks and troughs are relatively robust, but that data from SNZ's SNDQ series release lengthened the duration of the 2008-09 recession by one quarter. We also document that utilising the technical approach to call the 1997-98 recession would have led to considerable uncertainty, as successive real-time releases were associated with material variations in beginning and end points for that recession.

Utilising our linked quarterly employment series from 1956q1 to establish classical employment cycles, we find that for the period to the end of 2011, the numbers of peak-to–peak cycles and associated expansion and contraction phases are the same as those for our classical GDP cycles. Further, their average durations were remarkably similar. However more recently, employment has peaked at 2012q1, ahead of the yet-to-be determined next real GDP peak, and this has created a seventh employment expansion phase, equal to the number of completed contraction phases. In this context, the average standard deviations for employment cycles and phases have been considerably higher than for their real GDP counterparts.

It should also be noted that the average properties fail to highlight different timings and durations for a number of the individual employment cycles. For example, employment troughs lag output troughs for six of our seven cycle troughs, but employment peaks have led real GDP peaks on three occasions, the most recent being for the employment peak of 2012q2.

From Concordance statistic measures, while the expansions and contraction phases of classical real GDP and employment cycles have, *on average*, been associated 89 per cent of the time, it has also not been the case that for every individual cycle that employment expansion and contraction phases have lagged real GDP phases by one quarter. This suggests that if one is additionally considering movements in total employment for the purposes of calling turning points for a recession, then as a minimum the circumstances particular to that cycle should also be assessed.

We have established statistics for the recovery-to-previous-peak phases of each New Zealand business cycle. The durations of these recovery periods have varied from a very short two quarters to a very lengthy 13 quarters, with an average of 6.6 quarters. The strength of the recoveries has also varied considerably, from a low annualised growth rate of 1.2 per cent after the 1988q4 trough to an exceptionally strong annualised rate of 10.8 per cent from

1948q4 and a robust 7.0 per cent post-1983q1.

When all recessions are taken into account, the average growth rate for New Zealand's real GDP recoveries has steadily increased over the following two years, from an annualised 3.0 per cent during the immediately following two quarters through to 5.5 per cent during quarters seven and eight. However, when recoveries following the four deepest recessions are excluded, the average recovery path has been both more varied and more muted for the following three years in particular. In both cases, though, the average pattern of recovery has been in direct contrast to the experience of on-average rapid initial expansion and subsequent declining recovery rates found for U.S. NBER cycles over the 1950 to 1992 and 1947 to 2012 periods. By way of contrast, though, New Zealand's most recent recession and recovery path has been remarkably similar to that for the U.S.

Using our small sample of nine recessions, there is no statistically significant effect of duration, depth, or severity of recession variables on New Zealand's strengths of recovery. However, there is evidence at the 5% level of significance that a shorter (or longer) recession has been associated with a shorter (or longer) recovery from a previous peak.

Finally, we provide a visual perspective on movements in the key real GDP expenditure components which underpin the current recovery phase, relative to movements of the same components over the lengthy post-June 1991 recovery phase.

References

Balke, Nathan S. and Mark A. Wynne (1995), "Recessions and recoveries in real business cycle models", *Economic Enquiry*, 33, 640-663.

Boivin, Jean (2011), "The "Great" Recession in Canada: Perception vs. Reality", Remarks to Montréal CFA society, Bank of Canada, 28 March.

Bordo, Michael D. and Joseph G. Haubrich (2012), "Deep recessions, fast recoveries, and financial crises: Evidence from the American record", NBER Working Paper 18194, June; http://www.nber.org/papers/w18194.

Bry, G. and C. Boschan (1971), *Cyclical analysis of time series: Selected procedures and a computer program*, Columbia University Press.

Burns, A.F. and W.C. Mitchell (1946), *Measuring Business Cycles*, New York: NBER.

Chapple, Simon (1994), "HLFS – Consistent labour market data", NZIER Working Paper 94/16.

Claessens, Stijn, Ayhan Kose and Marco E. Terrones (2011), "Financial Cycles: What? How? When?", IMF Working Paper 11/76.

Claessens, Stijn, Ayhan Kose and Marco E. Terrones (2012), "How do business and financial cycles interact?", *Journal of International Economics*, 87(1), May, 178-190.

Claus, Edda (2011), "Seven leading indexes of New Zealand employment", *The Economic Record*, 87(276), 76-89.

Dominguez, Kathryn M. E. and Matthew D. Shapiro (2013), "Forecasting the Recovery from the Great Recession: Is This Time Different?", *American Economic Review: Papers & Proceedings*, 103(3), 147-152.

Easton, Brian (1997), *In Stormy Seas: The Post-War New Zealand Economy*, University of Otago Press, Dunedin.

Easton, Brian (2009), "It's the same this time? Cycles and depressions in New Zealand history", *Policy Quarterly*, 5(1), 17-23.

Hall, Robert E. (2010), "Why does the economy fall to pieces after a financial crisis?", *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 24(4), 3-20.

Hall, Robert E. (2011), "The long slump", *American Economic Review*, 101(2), 431-469.

Hall, Viv B, Kunhong Kim and Robert A. Buckle (1998), "Pacific Rim business cycle analysis: Synchronisation and volatility", *New Zealand Economic Papers*, 32(2), 129-159.

Hall, Viv B. and C. John McDermott (2007), "Regional Business Cycles in New Zealand. Do they exist? What might drive them?", *Papers in Regional Science*, 86(2), June 2007, 167-191.
Hall, Viv B. and C. John McDermott (2009), "The New Zealand Business Cycle", *Econometric Theory*, 25(4), August 2009, 1050-1069.
Hall, Viv B. and C.John McDermott (2011a), "Recessions and Recoveries in New Zealand's Post-World War II Business Cycles", presented to Annual Conference of New Zealand Association of Economists, Wellington, 30 June; available from http://www.nzae.org.nz/conferences/2011/programme.html.
Hall, Viv B. and C. John McDermott (2011b), "A quarterly post-Second World War real GDP series for New Zealand", *New Zealand Economic Papers*, 45(3), December 2011, 273-298.
Hamilton, James D. (2011), "Calling recessions in real time", *International Journal of Forecasting*, 27(4), 1006-26.
Harding, Don and Adrian Pagan (2002), "Dissecting the Cycle: A Methodological Investigation", *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 49, 365-381.
Harding, Don and Adrian Pagan (2006), "Synchronisation of cycles", *Journal of Econometrics*, 132, 59-79.
Haywood, E. and C. Campbell (1976), The New Zealand economy: Measurement of economic fluctuations and indicators of economic activity, 1947-74, Reserve Bank of New Zealand Research Paper, No. 19.
International Monetary Fund (2009), "From recession to recovery: How soon and how strong", *World Economic Outlook*, April, ch 3, 103-138.
Kim, Kunhong, Robert A. Buckle and Viv B. Hall (1994), "Key features of New Zealand business cycles", *The Economic Record*, 70, 56-73.
Kim, Kunhong, Robert A. Buckle and Viv B. Hall (1995), "Dating New Zealand business cycles", *New Zealand Economic Papers*, 29, 143-171.
King, R.G. and C.I. Plosser (1994), "Real business cycles and the test of the Adelmans", *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 33, 405-438.
MIAESR (2013), "Phase of Business Cycles, Australia 1960 – 2011", 10 December 2012, downloaded 18 April 2013 from http://melbourneinstitute.com/macro/reports/bcchronology.html.
Mussa, Michael (2010), "Global economic prospects as of September 30, 2010: A moderating pace of global recovery", presented at the 18th semi-annual meeting on Global Economic Prospects.
NBER Business Cycle Dating Committee (2010), "The NBER's Business Cycle Dating Committee" and "The NBER's Business Cycle Dating

Procedure: Frequently asked questions", 20 September, downloaded 22 March 2011 from http://www.nber.org/cycles/recessions.html.
Ng, Serena and Jonathan H. Wright (2013), "Facts and challenges from the Great Recession for forecasting and macroeconomic modelling", *Journal of Economic Literature*, 51(4), 1120-54.
Pagan, Adrian R. (2005), "Some Econometric Analysis of Constructed Binary Time Series", Centre for Applied Macroeconomic Analysis (CAMA) Working Paper 7/2005, May, Australian National University.
Reddell, Michael and Cath Sleeman (2008), "Some perspectives on past recessions", *Reserve Bank of New Zealand Bulletin*, 71(2), 5-21.
Reinhart, Carmen M. and Kenneth S. Rogoff ((2009), *This time is different: Eight centuries of financial folly*, Princeton University Press.
Sleeman, Cath (2006), "Analysis of revisions to quarterly GDP – a real-time database", *Reserve Bank of New Zealand Bulletin*, 69(1), 31-44.
Wynne, Mark A. and Nathan S. Balke (1992), "Are deep recessions followed by strong recoveries?", *Economics Letters*, 39, 183-189.
Sichel, Daniel E. (1994), "Inventories and the three phases of the business cycle"; *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 12(3), 269-277.



Figure1. Classical and Growth Cycles, New Zealand's real GDP, 1947q2 to 2012q3



Figure 2. Classical GDP & Employment Cycles, New Zealand, 1956q1 to 2012q3



Figure 3. Average growth rates over New Zealand and U.S. real GDP cycles



Figure 4. Recovery paths from most recent peak, U.S. and New Zealand





Figure 5. Strength and sustainability of New Zealand's current expansion phase





Figure 5 (continued). Strength and sustainability of New Zealand's current expansion phase





Figure 5 (continued). Strength and sustainability of New Zealand's current expansion phase

Table 1. New Zealand's Classical Real GDP Business Cycles: 1947 - 2012

Classical Cycles					
Dates of peaks and troughs by year and quarter		Duration in quarters			
Peak	Trough	Expansion phase	Contraction phase	Cycle	
				Peak to peak	Trough to trough
1947 December	1948 December		4		
1950 December	1952 June	8	6	12	14
1966 December	1967 December	58	4	64	62
1976 June	1978 March	34	7	38	41
1982 June	1983 March	17	3	24	20
1987 December	1988 December	19	4	22	23
1990 December	1991 June	8	2	12	10
1997 June	1998 March	24	3	26	27
2007 December	2009 June	39	6	42	45
Number of cycle phases/cycles		8	9	8	8
Average duration		25.9	4.3	30.0	30.2
Standard deviation		17.1	1.7	17.4	17.7

Note:
Real GDP Classical cycle turning points reflect Bry-Boschan (1971) dating of updated Hall-McDermott (2011) series.

Table 2. New Zealand's Classical Real GDP Business Cycles: 1947 - 2012

Cycle characteristics							
Phase dates		**Duration**		**Amplitude**		**Cumulated gain/loss**	
Expansion	Contraction	E	C	E	C	E	C
	1948q1-1948q4		4		-8.0		-15.6
1949q1-1950q4	1951q1-1952q2	8	6	23.0	-8.9	78.9	-37.2
1952q3-1966q4	1967q1-1967q4	58	4	62.3	-2.5	1702.9	-4.3
1968q1-1976q2	1976q3-1978q1	34	7	31.8	-4.2	583.2	-12.8
1978q2-1982q2	1982q3-1983q1	17	3	10.2	-3.2	68.8	-4.5
1983q2-1987q4	1988q1-1988q4	19	4	13.7	-1.3	178.6	-2.1
1989q1-1990q4	1991q1-1991q2	8	2	2.4	-3.1	7.5	-4.0
1991q3-1997q2	1997q3-1998q1	24	3	23.2	-1.3	256.7	-1.6
1998q2-2007q4	2008q1-2009q2	39	6	34.8	-4.0	710.5	-11.5
Average		25.9	4.3	25.2	-4.1	448.4	-10.4
Standard deviation		17.1	1.6	18.5	2.7	566.3	11.2

Notes:
E denotes expansion phase; C is contraction phase; durations are in quarters; amplitudes are percentages; cumulated gains/losses are percentages of GDP in first quarter of the phase, computed as in Pagan (2005, 8-12)

Table 3. Should two negative quarters of real GDP growth signal a recession?

Date	Technical Recession turning points		Bry-Boschan turning point sequencing rules			
			Classical cycles		Growth cycles	
	Peak	Trough	Peak	Trough	Peak	Trough
P	1947q4		1947q4		1947q4	
T		1948q4		1948q4		1949q2
P	1950q4		1950q4		1950q4	
T		1951q4		1952q2		1953q2
P					1955q3	
T						1956q3
P					1958q1	
T						1959q1
P					1961q2	
T						1962q3
P	1967q2		1966q4		1966q3	
T		1967q4		1967q4		1968q2
P					1969q4	
T						1972q3
P	1975q2				1975q2	
T		1975q4				
P	1976q2		1976q2			
T		1978q1		1978q1		1978q1
P	1982q2		1982q2		1982q1	
T		1983q1		1983q1		1983q1
P					1984q1	
T						1986q4
P	1987q4		1987q4		1987q4	
T		1988q2		1988q4		1988q4
P	1989q2				1989q2	
T		1990q2				1990q2
P	1990q4		1990q4		1990q4	
T		1991q2		1991q2		1992q3
P	1997q2		1997q2		1996q4	
T		1998q1		1998q1		1998q3
P					2000q1	
T						2001q1
P	2007q4		2007q4		2007q3	
T		2009q2		2009q2		2009q2
P	2010q2					
T		2010q4				

Table 4. New Zealand's Real GDP Business Cycles: 1947 - 2012

Classical Cycles						Growth Cycles					
Dates of peaks and troughs, by year and quarter		Duration in quarters				Dates of peaks and troughs, by year and quarter		Duration in quarters			
P	T	Exp. Phase	Contr. Phase	Cycle		P	T	Exp. phase	Contr. phase	Cycle	
				PTP	TPT					PTP	TPT
47q4	48q4		4			47q4	49q2		6		
50q4	52q2	8	6	12	14	50q4	53q2	6	10	12	16
						55q3	56q3	9	4	19	13
						58q1	59q1	6	4	10	10
						61q2	62q3	9	5	13	14
66q4	67q4	58	4	64	62	66q3	68q2	16	7	21	23
						69q4	72q3	6	11	13	17
76q2	78q1	34	7	38	41	75q2	78q1	11	11	22	22
82q2	83q1	17	3	24	20	82q1	83q1	16	4	27	20
						84q1	86q4	4	11	8	15
87q4	88q4	19	4	22	23	87q4	88q4	4	4	15	8
						89q2	90q2	2	4	6	6
90q4	91q2	8	2	12	10	90q4	92q3	2	7	6	9
97q2	98q1	24	3	26	27	96q4	98q3	17	7	24	24
						00q1	01q1	6	4	13	10
07q4	09q2	39	6	42	45	07q3	09q2	26	7	30	33
Number of cycle phases/ cycles		8	9	8	8	Number of cycle phases/ cycles		15	16	15	15
Average duration		25.9	4.3	30.0	30.2	Average duration		9.3	6.6	15.9	16.0
Standard deviation		17.1	1.7	17.4	17.7	Standard deviation		6.7	2.8	7.5	7.3

Notes:
Classical cycle turning points reflect Bry-Boschan (1971) dating of updated Hall-McDermott (2011) series.
Growth cycle turning points reflect HP1600 detrending, and Bry-Boschan assisted dating.
P = Peak; T = Trough
Exp. = Expansion; Contr. = Contraction; PTP = Peak to Peak; TPT = Trough to Trough

Table 5. New Zealand's Classical Real GDP Business Cycles: 1947 - 2013

BB Dates of Peaks and Troughs: Robustness to SNZ data revisions, selected releases 28/09/01 to 19/12/13

SNZ Release date	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T
SNCQ																				
28/09/01	47q4	48q4	50q4	52q2	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	86q3	88q4	89q2	90q1	90q4	91q2	97q2	98q1		
22/12/05	47q4	48q4	50q4	52q2	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	87q4	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	97q2	98q1		
26/09/08	47q4	48q4	50q4	52q2	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	87q4	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	97q2	98q1		
23/12/08	47q4	48q4	50q4	52q2	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	87q4	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	97q2	98q1	07q4	
27/03/09	47q4	48q4	50q4	52q2	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	87q4	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	97q2	98q1	07q4	
26/06/09	47q4	48q4	50q4	52q2	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	87q4	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	97q2	98q1	07q4	-
23/09/09	47q4	48q4	50q4	52q2	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	87q4	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	97q2	98q1	07q4	-
23/12/10	47q4	48q4	50q4	52q2	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	87q4	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	97q2	98q1	07q4	09q1
22/09/11	47q4	48q4	50q4	52q2	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	87q4	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	97q2	98q1	07q4	09q1
SNDQ																				
20/12/12	47q4	48q4	50q4	52q2	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	87q4	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	97q2	98q1	07q4	09q2
19/12/13	47q4	48q4	50q4	52q2	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	87q4	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	97q2	98q1	07q4	09q2

Notes:

Data sources for the above GDP Production series, each reflecting a continuous set of quarterly observations from 1987q2:

for the SNCQ series released between 28/09/01 and 22/09/11, the data were accessed on 18/11/2013 from:
http://www.rbnz.govt.nz/research_publications/research_programme/additional_research/2482495.html
These series are from the real-time database described in Sleeman (2006);

for the SNDQ series released 20/12/12, see Appendix, Table A1; and

for the SNDQ series released 19/12/13, see SNZ Table reference SND103AA, accessed 19/12/13.

Observations for all series prior to 1987q2 are computed using the methodology developed in Hall and McDermott (2011b).

Table 6. New Zealand's Classical Real GDP Business Cycles: 1955 – 2013*

BBQ Dates of Peaks and Troughs: Robustness to SNZ data revisions, selected releases 28/09/01 to 19/12/13																				
SNZ Release date	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T
SNCQ																				
28/09/01	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	86q3	88q4	89q2	90q1	90q4	91q2	92q1	92q3	97q2	98q1				
22/12/05	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	86q3	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	92q1	92q3	97q2	98q1				
26/09/08	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	86q3	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	92q1	92q3	97q2	98q1	07q4			
23/12/08	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	86q3	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	92q1	92q3	97q2	98q1	07q4			
27/03/09	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	86q3	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	92q1	92q3	97q2	98q1	07q4			
26/06/09	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	86q3	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	92q1	92q3	97q2	98q1	07q4	-		
23/09/09	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	86q3	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	92q1	92q3	97q2	98q1	07q4	-		
23/12/10	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	86q3	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	92q1	92q3	97q2	98q1	07q4	09q1		
22/09/11	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	86q3	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	92q1	92q3	97q2	98q1	07q4	09q1		
SNDQ																				
20/12/12	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	86q3	88q4	-	-	90q4	91q2	-	-	97q2	98q1	07q4	09q2	10q2	10q4
19/12/13	66q4	67q4	76q2	78q1	82q2	83q1	86q3	88q4	89q2	90q1	90q4	91q2	92q1	92q3	97q2	98q1	07q4	09q2	10q2	10q4

Notes

* All Peaks and Troughs prior to the peak of 66q4 are as shown in Table 5 for BB dates: i.e., P 47q2, T 48q4, P 50q4 and T 52q2

Data sources are as for Table 5.

Table 7. Dates of Peaks and Troughs associated with Technical Recessions: 1997-98, 2008-09 and 2010

Robustness to SNZ data revisions, selected releases 26/06/98 to 19/12/13						
SNZ Release date	P	T	P	T	P	T
SNBQ						
26/06/98 to 25/09/98	-	-				
23/12/98 to 29/09/00	97q4	98q2				
SNCQ						
21/12/00 to 22/12/05	97q2	98q1				
26/09/08	97q2	98q1	07q4			
23/12/08	97q2	98q1	07q4			
27/03/09	97q2	98q1	07q4			
26/06/09	97q2	98q1	07q4	-		
23/09/09	97q2	98q1	07q4	09q1		
23/12/10	97q2	98q1	07q4	09q1	-	
22/09/11	97q2	98q1	07q4	09q1	-	-
SNDQ						
20/12/12	97q2	98q1	07q4	09q2	10q2	10q4
19/12/13	97q2	98q1	07q4	09q2	10q2	10q4

Notes:

Data sources are as for Table 5.

Table 8. New Zealand's Classical GDP & Employment Cycles: 1956 - 2012

Real GDP Cycles						Employment Cycles					
Dates of peaks and troughs, by year and quarter		Duration in quarters				Dates of peaks and troughs, by year and quarter		Duration in quarters			
P	T	Exp. Phase	Contr. Phase	Cycle		P	T	Exp. phase	Contr. phase	Cycle	
				PTP	TPT					PTP	TPT
66q4	67q4		4			67q1	67q4		3		
76q2	78q1	34	7	38	41	80q1	80q3	49	2	52	51
82q2	83q1	17	3	24	20	82q3	83q2	8	3	10	11
87q4	88q4	19	4	22	23	87q3	89q2	17	7	20	24
90q4	91q2	8	2	12	10	90q2	91q4	4	6	11	10
97q2	98q1	24	3	26	27	97q2	98q4	22	6	28	28
07q4	09q2	39	6	42	45	08q4	09q3	40	3	46	43
						12q1		10		13	
Number of cycle phases/ cycles		6	7	6	6	Number of cycle phases/ cycles		7	7	7	6
Average duration		23.5	4.1	27.3	27.7	Average duration		21.4	4.3	25.7	27.8
Standard deviation		11.4	1.8	11.0	13.2	Standard deviation		17.0	2.0	17.2	16.6

Notes:
Employment cycle turning points reflect Bry-Boschan (1971) dating of linked Simon Chapple (1994)-RBNZ-SNZ Total Employment series
P = Peak; T = Trough
Exp. = Expansion; Contr. = Contraction; PTP = Peak to Peak; TPT = Trough to Trough

Table 9. Synchronisation of New Zealand's Classical real GDP and Employment Cycles: 1956q1 - 2012q3

Employment turning point lagging/leading GDP turning point	Concordance	GMM test	Correlation
Employment lagging by:			
1 quarter	.8894	4.65***	.527
2 quarters	.8711	3.88***	.451
3 quarters	.8348	2.16**	.299
4 quarters	.7892	0.45	.108
8 quarters	.7397	-0.33	-.085
Contemporaneous	.8722	4.13***	.452
Employment leading by:			
2 quarters	.8000	0.79	.122
1 quarter	.8407	2.58***	.309

Notes:
The GMM test is the *t*-test on the coefficient C in the implicit equation demGDP(t)*demEmp(t+k) – C = 0, where k is the number of quarters by which employment lags/leads GDP, demGDP is demeaned real GDP, and demEmp is demeaned employment.
The GMM estimation was conducted using the Bartlett kernel with a fixed bandwidth of 4.The null hypothesis of no concordance between the demeaned binary expansion/contraction phases for the GDP and employment series is rejected for one-tail tests, if the test result is greater than critical values of 2.35 (1 per cent level, denoted ***), 1.65 (5 per cent level, denoted **), and 1.28 (10 per cent level, denoted *).

Table 10. Contractions/Recessions, Expansions, Recoveries
New Zealand's Classical real GDP Business Cycles: 1947 - 2012

Contraction/recession Phases		**Duration (qtrs)**	**Amplitude (%)**	**Amplitude (%)**	
				Per qtr	Per annum
Peak	Trough				
1947q4	1948q4	4	-7.70	-1.93	-7.70
1950q4	1952q2	6	-8.51	-1.42	-5.67
1966q4	1967q4	4	-2.47	-0.62	-2.47
1976q2	1978q1	7	-4.11	-0.59	-2.35
1982q2	1983q1	3	-3.19	-1.06	-4.26
1987q4	1988q4	4	-1.29	-0.32	-1.29
1990q4	1991q2	2	-3.07	-1.54	-6.14
1997q2	1998q1	3	-1.30	-0.43	-1.74
2007q4	2009q2	6	-3.90	-0.65	-2.60
Mean		4.3	-3.95	-0.95	-3.80
Standard deviation		1.7			
Mean (excl. phases 1 &2)		4.1	-2.76	-0.74	-2.98
Mean (excl. phases 1, 2 & 7)		4.5	-2.71	-0.61	-2.45
Expansion Phases					
Trough	Peak				
1948q4	1950q4	8	25.85	3.23	
1952q2	1966q4	58	86.46	1.49	
1967q4	1976q2	34	37.41	1.10	
1978q1	1982q2	17	10.73	0.63	
1983q1	1987q4	19	14.69	0.77	
1988q4	1990q4	8	2.44	0.30	
1991q2	1997q2	24	26.11	1.09	
1998q1	2007q4	39	41.68	1.07	
Mean		25.9	30.67	1.21	4.84
Standard deviation		17.1			
Mean (excl. phase 1)		28.43	31.36	0.92	3.69
Recovery to prior Peak					
Trough date					
1948q4		5	13.45	2.69	10.76
1952q2		8	9.32	1.17	4.68
1967q4		4	4.06	1.01	4.04
1978q1		13	6.49	0.50	2.00
1983q1		2	3.48	1.74	6.96
1988q4		8	2.44	0.30	1.20
1991q2		7	3.47	0.50	2.00
1998q1		3	1.74	0.58	2.32
2009q2		9	4.39	0.49	1.96
Mean		6.6	5.43	1.00	3.99
Standard deviation		3.4			
Mean (excl. phase 4)		5.8			
Mean (excl. phase 1)			4.42	0.79	3.15

Table 11. Growth rates over New Zealand's Classical real GDP Business Cycles
annualised percentage changes

Peak	Trough	Growth rate during Contractions	Growth rate during Expansions													
			Quarters				Years									
			1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1947q4	1948q4	-7.70	2.43	13.05	23.07	9.29	7.82	16.72								
1950q4	1952q2	-5.67	2.52	0.83	7.39	7.38	1.68	7.52	4.46	1.29	4.53	5.14	1.60	5.92	6.03	1.19†
1966q4	1967q4	-2.47	2.35	5.70	4.19	6.37	4.06	5.35	2.79	2.62	4.73	7.60	2.62	1.79		
1976q2	1978q1	-2.35	1.28	4.27	-1.02	3.41	2.79	1.18	0.01	6.21						
1982q2	1983q1	-4.26	6.95	10.56	0.08	3.34	8.94	1.71	-0.01	1.82						
1987q4	1988q4	-1.29	3.76	-2.70	-3.34	4.19	0.50	1.93								
1990q4	1991q2	-6.14	1.97	0.65	1.02	7.77	1.31	4.42	5.53	4.77	4.11	3.57				
1997q2	1998q1	-1.74	1.45	4.47	7.44	5.23	2.98	6.43	0.76	4.78	4.40	5.29	2.37	3.42	3.49	
2007q4	2009q2	-2.60	4.38	1.65	-1.35	2.61	3.04	0.62	2.49	N/A††						
Mean		-3.80	3.01	4.28	4.16	5.51	3.68	5.10	2.29	3.58	4.44	5.40	2.20	3.71		
Mean (excl. phases 1, 2, 5 & 7)		-2.09	2.64	2.68	1.18	4.36	2.67	3.10	1.51	3.86	4.57	6.45	2.50	2.61		

Notes:

† For the expansion phase from 1952q2, annualised percentage growth rates for years 11, 12, 13 and 14 are 5.28, 7.13, 5.57, and 5.34.

†† N/A refers to this recovery phase being still incomplete; the *production based* GDP peak of $35,400m (1995/96 prices) in the December 2007 quarter was regained only in the September 2011 quarter ($35,574m).

Table 12. Strengths of Recovery and Measures of Recession

OLS regression results for 9 recoveries, 1948q4 through to 2009q2					
Dependant variable (for strength of recovery)	Constant	Growth rate (%) during contraction	Duration of recession (quarters)	Severity of recession (%)	$\bar{R}^2$
Growth rate	2.86	-0.47	-0.22		-.11
(**1st 12 months)**	(2.91)	(0.27)	(0.56)		
	1.77*	-0.50			0.03
	(0.76)	(0.27)			
		p 0.1082			
	5.21		-0.35		-.10
	(3.01)		(0.51)		
	3.89**			-0.36	-.14
	(1.24)			(0.73)	
	p 0.0163				
Cumulated growth rate	2.73	-2.00*	-0.36		0.32
(**1st 2 years**)	(4.58)	(1.02)	(0.91)		
	-0.95	-2.06*			0.41
	(1.74)	(0.97)			
	12.76**		-0.92		-.08
	(5.12)		(0.75)		
	7.46***			0.13	-.09
	(1.98)			(1.31)	
Recovery to previous	-0.20	0.00	1.56**		0.42
peak (quarters)	(2.59)	(0.31)	(0.59)		
	7.46***	0.24			-.11
	(1.63)	(0.34)			
	-0.21		1.56**		0.51
	(2.91)		(2.68)		
	5.45***			0.11	-.00
	(0.57)			(0.06)	
				p .1055	

Notes:

( ) are Newey-West HAC standard errors; p denotes p-value

*** denotes significance at 1% level; ** significance at 5% level; * significance at 10% level

Appendix

Table A1. Quarterly real GDP Estimates, 1947q2 - 2012q3
(seasonally adjusted, 1995-96 prices)

Year	Mar	Jun	Sep	Dec
1947		6422.26	6466.04	6553.91
1948	6465.60	6323.25	6133.74	6049.13
1949	6106.78	6122.84	6329.10	6522.43
1950	6862.97	7274.69	7543.31	7612.69
1951	7436.20	7183.65	7089.85	6973.88
1952	6976.18	6965.14	7113.27	7052.89
1953	7060.93	7082.10	7169.53	7343.66
1954	7445.52	7614.46	7734.19	7798.09
1955	7860.41	7954.05	8066.61	8045.63
1956	8091.88	8056.48	8128.98	8279.31
1957	8312.95	8421.73	8594.68	8652.52
1958	8811.84	8854.37	8854.35	8897.84
1959	8836.33	8995.78	9108.65	9254.16
1960	9478.06	9527.88	9730.91	9868.06
1961	9972.69	10102.42	10075.49	10104.96
1962	10124.29	10222.49	10323.88	10465.72
1963	10633.21	10762.52	10952.73	11176.55
1964	11291.42	11529.43	11581.40	11792.27
1965	11980.53	12171.46	12381.16	12519.23
1966	12668.78	12821.64	12939.68	12986.96
1967	12878.42	12933.25	12753.00	12665.75
1968	12829.37	12814.65	12975.02	13179.59
1969	13303.44	13455.88	13643.51	13884.33
1970	13928.69	14102.09	14207.41	14272.01
1971	14362.81	14424.95	14572.20	14646.25
1972	14750.46	14906.03	15043.43	15339.69
1973	15692.55	15924.35	16260.70	16506.10
1974	16665.61	16847.52	16944.48	16939.07
1975	17259.54	17352.11	17295.13	17242.42
1976	17246.10	17403.58	17385.64	17267.03
1977	17179.34	17012.02	16900.98	16842.35
1978	16688.12	16716.31	16794.99	16916.66
1979	17153.52	17155.57	17065.71	17180.07
1980	17356.71	17184.16	17196.41	17510.89
1981	17358.75	17771.26	17968.32	18200.09
1982	18436.98	18478.84	18334.87	18100.03
1983	17888.67	18037.75	18510.49	18822.93
1984	19487.63	19511.11	19495.80	19789.86
1985	19821.51	19824.57	19682.65	19904.21

Table A1. Quarterly real GDP Estimates, 1947q2 - 2012q3 (cont.)
(seasonally adjusted, 1995-96 prices)

Year	Mar	Jun	Sep	Dec
1986	19820.49	20256.47	20570.96	20019.59
1987	20180.92	20282.00	20405.00	20516.00
1988	20450.00	20366.00	20427.00	20251.00
1989	20513.00	20632.00	20389.00	20353.00
1990	20319.00	20319.00	20511.00	20745.00
1991	20244.00	20108.00	20172.00	20306.00
1992	20368.00	20372.00	20219.00	20476.00
1993	20806.00	21272.00	21700.00	21911.00
1994	22257.00	22448.00	22832.00	23108.00
1995	23326.00	23519.00	23728.00	23873.00
1996	24239.00	24485.00	24639.00	24982.00
1997	24858.00	25358.00	25277.00	25209.00
1998	25028.00	25168.00	25209.00	25464.00
1999	25773.00	25994.00	26732.00	27061.00
2000	27431.00	27404.00	27489.00	27541.00
2001	27640.00	28028.00	28257.00	28703.00
2002	28961.00	29378.00	29711.00	30082.00
2003	30235.00	30388.00	30993.00	31366.00
2004	31833.00	32094.00	32154.00	32279.00
2005	32589.00	33223.00	33357.00	33250.00
2006	33703.00	33943.00	34106.00	34446.00
2007	34880.00	35164.00	35401.00	35460.00
2008	35320.00	34951.00	34843.00	34623.00
2009	34225.00	34077.00	34270.00	34824.00
2010	34842.00	35112.00	34994.00	34875.00
2011	35129.00	35330.00	35574.00	35791.00
2012	36118.00	36211.00	36282.00	

Table A2. Quarterly Total Employment, 1956q1 - 2012q3
(HLFS-consistent, seasonally adjusted, 000)

Year	Mar	Jun	Sep	Dec
1956	877.45	880.20	883.95	889.72
1957	894.64	897.53	897.49	897.06
1958	902.25	914.83	925.11	928.37
1959	926.73	925.23	928.66	937.71
1960	946.16	951.58	957.04	963.82
1961	970.47	976.87	981.77	984.12
1962	986.67	989.38	993.01	998.54
1963	1005.46	1014.86	1023.93	1032.24
1964	1041.06	1051.01	1060.24	1068.27
1965	1076.40	1083.89	1093.91	1108.97
1966	1122.09	1130.65	1137.50	1141.43
1967	1142.05	1134.60	1128.77	1126.29
1968	1127.22	1132.85	1139.31	1146.81
1969	1156.82	1169.83	1183.30	1196.73
1970	1209.26	1219.04	1228.52	1237.27
1971	1244.74	1249.74	1254.14	1257.75
1972	1262.36	1267.78	1276.44	1290.81
1973	1307.33	1327.38	1346.35	1361.67
1974	1376.62	1391.22	1401.40	1402.12
1975	1404.88	1410.91	1417.59	1423.85
1976	1429.29	1432.57	1437.61	1443.81
1977	1447.00	1445.85	1445.74	1445.71
1978	1446.43	1452.47	1459.25	1446.04
1979	1471.66	1479.18	1486.94	1492.33
1980	1498.12	1491.41	1475.62	1478.04
1981	1497.03	1495.78	1501.88	1513.43
1982	1514.37	1520.41	1523.31	1522.08
1983	1510.12	1502.87	1517.00	1522.33
1984	1532.56	1553.23	1553.69	1582.20
1985	1598.48	1607.23	1604.77	1611.50
1986	1622.18	1624.09	1627.36	1603.93
1987	1627.59	1627.24	1631.01	1615.51
1988	1597.00	1582.73	1559.52	1552.08
1989	1533.42	1517.78	1522.06	1524.05
1990	1532.50	1543.25	1536.71	1526.86
1991	1519.34	1513.07	1504.47	1500.26
1992	1509.08	1518.52	1509.93	1520.38
1993	1526.08	1536.00	1551.53	1568.00
1994	1583.43	1599.55	1620.96	1643.66
1995	1662.89	1677.85	1695.47	1709.65

Table A2. Quarterly Total Employment, 1956q1 - 2012q3 (cont.)
(HLFS-consistent, seasonally adjusted, 000)

Year	Mar	Jun	Sep	Dec
1996	1722.99	1738.98	1755.47	1743.58
1997	1745.61	1753.42	1751.67	1750.30
1998	1746.32	1738.52	1737.43	1734.77
1999	1752.92	1759.67	1767.13	1785.32
2000	1783.68	1785.63	1808.68	1821.05
2001	1823.49	1842.85	1847.62	1866.92
2002	1893.73	1906.93	1906.05	1918.41
2003	1928.74	1945.94	1971.40	1975.19
2004	1992.55	2006.98	2028.96	2067.51
2005	2064.76	2071.64	2097.52	2104.29
2006	2123.07	2139.76	2137.55	2138.88
2007	2166.28	2170.84	2171.62	2188.95
2008	2160.52	2187.71	2196.05	2208.88
2009	2174.96	2170.12	2155.07	2157.24
2010	2171.05	2170.75	2192.82	2187.05
2011	2208.84	2213.46	2217.26	2223.19
2012	2228.56	2226.18	2217.81	