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NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY

We present some simple measures of realised volatility in New Zealand’s real exchange rate over the short-term (periods up to one year) and medium term (periods longer than one year). While New Zealand’s exchange rate volatility at a short run frequency is not so high as to make it an outlier internationally, exchange rate volatility has been high compared to the median of the countries considered. Over a business cycle frequency, New Zealand’s exchange rate has been more volatile than many of its peers.

We explore reasons for New Zealand’s experience of cycles and short-term noise in the exchange rate over a period of several decades. There is evidence that the exchange rate is prone to ‘overshooting’, sometimes persistently, in the sense that its movements are quite jumpy compared to observed changes in economic fundamentals. Departures of the exchange rate from its longer-run trend can be quite large and prolonged.

We argue that the volatility of domestic demand has played an important role in the volatility of New Zealand’s exchange rate. The exchange rate’s volatility is reasonably consistent with models describing how an economy moves back towards equilibrium after being hit by shocks when there are rigidities and frictions in the economy. Policy seeking to reduce exchange rate volatility can therefore focus on addressing the domestic sources of economic volatility and the rigidities preventing faster adjustment, and improving the economy’s resilience to economic and financial volatility.

Monetary policy might be able to affect nominal exchange rate volatility at the margin, but is unable to deliver persistent reductions in the level of the real exchange rate. Other policies that affect demand in the economy, such as fiscal policy, are likely to have a greater and more durable impact. Measures that make the economy more flexible might also be able to reduce the reactivity of the exchange rate to shocks. Direct foreign currency intervention measures are unlikely to make a difference to the cyclical volatility of the real exchange rate, but may be able, at times, to reduce the effects on short-term fluctuations of simplistic trading rules or poorly formed expectations.

1 An earlier version of this paper was presented at the Reserve Bank / Treasury Exchange Rate Policy Forum held on 26 March 2013 in Wellington: http://www.rbnz.govt.nz/research/workshops/Mar2013/programme.html
1. INTRODUCTION

The New Zealand dollar exchange rate is widely perceived to be among the more volatile in its advanced-country peer group. Exchange rate volatility is often viewed as potentially harmful to macroeconomic performance, through adverse effects on trade or investment, or by encouraging protectionism. This paper looks in detail at the New Zealand experience of exchange rate volatility at different frequencies and discusses some policy implications.

A currency’s measured volatility relative to others can depend on the particular measures of volatility used, the horizon or frequency over which movements are measured, and the approaches taken (if any) to de-trend the data. The policy implications of volatility similarly depend on the frequency of the volatility. For example, short-term or high-frequency (e.g. quarterly) volatility may be of little policy interest if firms can hedge the corresponding exchange rate risk in desired scale and at a non-deterrent cost (see discussions in Mabin, 2011 and Sanderson, 2009). Medium-term or business-cycle frequency volatility may be of greater interest to the extent that hedging markets thin out, or risk management more generally becomes more expensive, with a horizon lengthening into the business-cycle-frequency range. Volatility at this frequency is also likely to be more relevant to firms’ longer-term decisions about investment, employment and market strategy.

Different types of policy actions affect volatility at different frequencies. Some actions are in the nature of structural policies aiming to improve durably the cyclical and long-run behaviour of the economy. Others are geared towards shorter-run stabilisation of the economy when shocks hit or when foreign exchange markets behave in ways inconsistent with fundamentals.

We focus on exchange rate volatility at the cyclical frequency (periods one or more years long) and on that at the short-term frequency (one year or shorter). We distinguish between these frequencies because they differ in the shocks, transmission mechanisms and market frictions that matter for how volatility affects the economy and how different policy responses affect outcomes. These differences affect the assessment of the costs and benefits of potential policy interventions to address excessive exchange rate volatility.

The rest of the paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 presents summary statistics on the realised short- and medium-term volatility of the exchange rate in New Zealand and other countries over a period of several decades, covering a variety of exchange rate and monetary policy regimes. Sections 3 and 4 discuss volatility at the medium-term and short-term frequencies respectively, drawing on the New Zealand

---

2 See e.g. Mabin (2010) and Schmidt-Hebbel (2006).
3 See the general discussion in, for example, Darby, Hughes-Hallett, Ireland and Piscitelli (1999) and Obstfeld and Rogoff (1995).
macroeconomic experience to shed light on the drivers of this volatility. Section 5 discusses the impact of exchange rate volatility on the economy and Section 6 explores some potential policy interventions to address excessive or damaging exchange rate volatility. Section 7 concludes.

2. REALISED VOLATILITY: DATA, METHODS AND RESULTS

Perhaps the main concern about volatility is that changes in exchange rates can surprise market participants materially, requiring changes in plans. Earlier plans – made based on a particular expectation of the future exchange rate – can become suboptimal when the exchange rate moves unexpectedly.

In the literature, measuring predictability of the exchange rate – and so measuring volatility “conditional” on predictions – can involve deducing exchange rate expectations from forward markets (e.g. implied volatility); using empirical models to predict exchange rate movements; or relating exchange rate volatility to volatility in the exchange rate’s determinants. Such models can be based on economic theory that identifies the fundamental determinants of the exchange rate and often treat exchange rate volatility as being dependent on its own history as well as on the volatility in other macroeconomic variables.

In this paper, we instead present simple (unconditional) measures of volatility in the observed exchange rate, referring to these as “experienced” or “realised” volatility. That is, we do not attempt to measure exchange rate surprises in the way described above. We illustrate some basic facts about unconditional volatility in New Zealand’s exchange rate over several decades compared to other countries’ currencies, and we explore the kinds of factors that have likely been relevant. We leave the analysis of “conditional” exchange rate volatility for future research.

Experienced or realised volatility is often measured as the typical size of exchange rate movements over a defined horizon, or as the dispersion of the exchange rate around its average or trend over a defined window of time (e.g. the standard deviation of percentage changes or the coefficient of variation).

To measure medium-term or “cyclical” volatility in the level of the exchange rate, we apply the Bry and Boschan (1971; BB) algorithm, adapted for use on quarterly data. The BB algorithm requires the researcher to set a number of parameters that affect the duration of the cycles the algorithm will identify. We follow Harding and Pagan’s (2002) restrictions on peak-to-trough (PT) and trough-to-peak (TP) durations of identified cycles to be 2 quarters or longer and full cycles (PTP or TPT) to be 5 quarters or longer. This allows comparability with Schmidt-Hebbel’s (2006) study of cycles in New Zealand macroeconomic variables, which also used a quarterly cycle dating algorithm based on the BB procedure (and which we assume used the same
parameters for minimum phase and cycle durations). We then test the sensitivity of the relative volatility results to changes in these parameters.

These choices of parameters exclude very short cycles but not very long ones. For all countries in our sample there is sufficient medium-run variability in the real exchange rate for a handful of exchange rate cycles to be picked up.

Our cyclical exchange rate volatility measures are calculated using the BIS’s real exchange rates based on the BIS’s “narrow” sample of 27 countries. This sample of countries includes both fixed and floating regime currencies and real exchange rates of countries within currency unions (the euro area). We use a sample period that starts in January 1964 and ends in September 2012, which encompasses for many countries (including New Zealand) at least three different exchange rate arrangements – an internationally-governed system of pegged exchange rates (Bretton Woods), nationally determined fixed or managed exchange rate regimes (e.g. New Zealand and Australia immediately prior to floating), and free-floating.

We measure short-term volatility (sometimes called “noise”) based on central tendencies of the monthly, quarterly and annual movements in the (log) levels of exchange rates and relevant macroeconomic variables. Looking at the change in exchange rates over different frequencies is a similar approach to Mabin’s (2010) and, relative to the BB algorithm, quite substantially down-weights lower-frequency volatility (for example, removing a single unit root if present). We add to Mabin’s (2010) analysis by supplementing the monthly volatility analysis with quarterly and annual volatility for the calculations of short-term volatility measures, and by calculating standard deviations as a measure of short-term volatility in addition to the average absolute change measure she uses.

---

4 The large international literature on business cycles contains a substantial subset that imposes an upper limit of 32 quarters on the cycle duration, and a lower limit of 8 quarters corresponding to that imposed in Burns and Mitchell’s (1946) seminal study of US business cycles. The upper limit reflects that Burns and Mitchell did not find business cycles longer than 32 quarters (Baxter and King, 1999). The same limits were subsequently and widely used in applied work and in cycle extraction techniques (e.g. Baxter and King, 1999). Cycle results from the BB algorithm applied here could be viewed as comparable with those using explicit bandpass filters such as Baxter and King’s (1999), provided that the variables under consideration do not contain much variance due to low-frequency cycles (i.e. those longer than 33 quarters).

5 The algorithm also features a smoothing step (discussed in Bry and Boschan, 1971 at p. 79) in which the length of a moving average must be chosen. We choose 6 quarters in our base case for this moving average (our main results were not affected by choices of this parameter between 4 and 8 quarters).

6 Mabin (2010) used a “judgmental” approach to identifying medium-term exchange rate cycles, including requiring as a “general rule of thumb” that cycles should be five years or more in duration (i.e. much longer than the 5 quarter minimum cycle length used by Harding and Pagan to replicate NBER business cycle turning points for the US). She did this because she wants to avoid identifying many shorter cycles, which were not the focus of her study. By contrast, shorter cycles at the business cycle frequency are of central importance in our study, because of their importance for monetary policy conduct and for business investment planning. In the case of New Zealand, using a 5-year minimum excludes the substantial 15 percent drop and similarly-sized rebound in the exchange rate in 2006-07, for example. Hence, we use the 5-quarter minimum as in Harding and Pagan and Schmidt-Hebbel but also do some sensitivity testing.

7 Effective real exchange rate and macroeconomic data used in this section were sourced from the BIS and Haver, respectively. See Klau and Fung (2006) for documentation of the BIS real exchange rate indices. The real exchange rate short- and medium-term volatilities calculated using these measures (which we choose for cross-country consistency) for New Zealand are similar to those calculated from a real exchange rate for New Zealand calculated from the official TWI and the corresponding domestic and foreign CPIs.

8 See Sullivan (2013) for a history of New Zealand’s exchange rate regimes.
2.1. RESULTS

2.1.1 MEDIUM-TERM VOLATILITY

This section looks at medium term volatility in real exchange rates. The measures we consider indicate that New Zealand has had both longer and larger upswings and downswings than most other countries in our sample.

Appendix A shows the identified real exchange rate turning points for each country for the sample period January 1964 – September 2012, using the base case parameters stated above.

The number of cycles identified by the algorithm is not very large, making it difficult to say with much confidence whether there are material differences in cycle characteristics across our time period, countries or exchange rate regimes. However, an informal look at the identified turning points for each country suggests little evidence of systematic differences in cyclical characteristics along such lines.

Figures 1 to 3 below show the distribution across countries of the mean and median lengths of upswings and downswings and the number of cycles. The red dot in each figure shows the observation for New Zealand; red crosses are ‘outliers’; the red horizontal line in each box is the median; the top and bottom of each box show the upper and lower quartile respectively; and the outer pair of horizontal lines – at each end of the “whiskers” – are the 95th and 5th percentile, respectively.\textsuperscript{9}

One message from these figures is that both real exchange rate upswings and downswings in New Zealand have tended to be longer than those in the median country. Reflecting this, over the sample calculated using the BB algorithm with the parameter settings above, New Zealand (like Canada, Finland, Germany, and the Netherlands) experienced relatively few complete cycles, eight rather than the median of 10. Only Japan (7), Greece (6), Spain (5) and Singapore (4) experienced fewer cycles.

The mean and median appreciation and depreciation in New Zealand’s real exchange rate over the sample were also larger than the respective means and medians across the sample countries (median depreciations particularly so). The mean upswing and downswing magnitude in New Zealand is about 18 to 20 percent relative to the previous trough or peak. The median country’s was 12 to 14 percent. The countries that had magnitudes of upswings in the neighbourhood of New Zealand’s are Australia (17 percent), Spain (18 percent), Singapore (19 percent), Korea (19 percent), Mexico (19 percent), Japan (26 percent) and Taiwan (27 percent). Rankings for magnitudes of downswings are similar.

\textsuperscript{9}The full sample is: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Chinese Taipei, Denmark, Euro area, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hong Kong SAR, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Korea, Mexico, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Portugal, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States.
Figure 1 – Cross-country distributions of mean and median lengths of real exchange rate cycles (quarters), 1964-2011
Observation for New Zealand shown as red dot

Figure 2 – Cross-country distributions of mean and median magnitudes of real exchange rate up- and downswings, 1964-2011
Observation for New Zealand shown as red dot
Finally, we combine the cycle amplitude and duration results to get a sense of how substantial overall – i.e. how large and long – the exchange rate cycles are across countries. Exchange rate cycles might be expected to have larger real economic effects if they are longer (so that they need to be withstood or hedged against for longer) and if they are bigger. Figure 4 below plots mean upswing and downswing magnitudes against the number of cycles for each country. Points to the southeast of the scatterplot represent cycles that are more substantial (both longer and bigger).

Figure 4 suggests that New Zealand has had both longer and larger upswings and downswings than most other countries in the sample. The only exception on both dimensions (i.e. a country lying in the space to the southeast of New Zealand in the chart) in either case is Japan (particularly in the case of upswings), though Singapore also has had larger downswings and longer overall cycles.

To test robustness of these results, we adjusted the minimum length of cycles the algorithm allows, changing the minimum length of peak-to-trough and peak-to-peak movements from the base case of 2 quarters and 5 quarters respectively, to 4 quarters and 8 quarters respectively. This has the general effect of excluding more short cycles (those between 5 quarters and 2 years long peak-to-peak or trough-to-trough).

The main result stands that New Zealand’s real exchange rate cycles have tended to be larger and longer than those for most countries in the sample, but to a lesser degree. Using the stricter censoring rule, the algorithm determines that Japan, Mexico
and Singapore had longer cycles, and larger upswings and downswings, than New Zealand over the sample.

**Figure 4 – Length and amplitude of exchange rate cycles**

![Diagram showing exchange rate cycles](image)

See Appendix B for country codes.

### 2.1.2 SHORT-TERM VOLATILITY

In this section, we look at volatility over shorter horizons, calculating the mean absolute change (MAC) and standard deviation (SD) statistics using the BIS “narrow” real exchange rate series (in log form) for different groups of countries and sample periods. The results indicate that New Zealand’s short-term volatility has been relatively high. Whether New Zealand appears to be an “outlier” depends on the samples of countries and of time.

First, we calculate MAC and SD statistics for the same countries and sample period in Mabin (2010), and then see if the choices of frequency, summary statistic and sample change our assessment. We then add two floating regime countries, Mexico and Norway, to the sample.

---

10 Mabin’s (2010) countries or currency areas are Australia, Canada, the euro area, Japan, Korea, New Zealand, Sweden, Switzerland, the UK and the US. Her sample is January 1999 to August 2010.
Mabin (2010) reported that for January 1999 to August 2010 New Zealand, Australia and Japan had the highest short-term exchange rate volatilities, as measured by the monthly MAC in logs of real exchange rates. Over this period, those countries’ real effective exchange rates changed month to month by an average 1.8 to 1.9 percent, just over one standard deviation higher than the country sample mean of 1.4 percent. The cross-country relativities are about the same for quarterly and annual MACs.

When measured by the standard deviation of percentage changes instead of the MAC, New Zealand’s short-term exchange rate volatility for monthly and quarterly movements is closer to the median (Korea, Japan and Australia are further from the median). However, for annual movements, New Zealand’s volatility on this measure is still around the 75th percentile (while Korea has the highest volatility) (Figure 5).

**Figure 5 – Volatility measures calculated for same sample period (1999-2010) and countries as in Mabin (2010)**

NZ observation shown as red dot

New Zealand’s short-term exchange rate volatility compared with that of other countries looks broadly the same if we extend the sample endpoint to include the latest available data, keeping the starting period of January 1999, and add Mexico and Norway to the sample. However, New Zealand’s distance from the median shrinks somewhat when the sample starting point is taken back to New Zealand’s float in 1985 (see Figure 6). 11 This period includes the volatility in Mexico in 1994 Tequila crisis, the 1992 European Exchange Rate Mechanism crisis and the 1987 global stock market crash. On some measures, Australia’s short-term exchange rate volatility is below New Zealand’s and on others above, whereas the volatility of the NOK and the CAD (both also “commodity” currencies) were both considerably lower than that of the NZD (Norway’s short-term exchange rate volatility being the lowest in this sample). Over this longer sample period, New Zealand’s volatility measures in

---

11 This provides 329 monthly, 108 quarterly, and 26 yearly observations.
absolute terms are similar to those calculated on Mabin’s (considerably) shorter sample, but those of Korea and Japan in particular are somewhat larger.

Figure 6 – Volatility measures calculated for 1985-2012 and more floating countries

To summarise this section, over a long sample period and a range of exchange rate and monetary regimes, New Zealand’s medium-term exchange rate cycles have been longer and larger than those in most other countries. And while New Zealand’s short-term volatility has not been an outlier, it has nevertheless been relatively high.

3. EXPLAINING MEDIUM-TERM EXCHANGE RATE VOLATILITY

This section discusses possible explanations for the experienced medium-term exchange rate volatility in New Zealand.

Medium-term fluctuations in the exchange rate are likely to be significantly influenced by the fundamentals of aggregate demand, supply and competitiveness. Importantly, these are the same fundamentals to which monetary policy responds in targeting inflation, which means that the relationship between monetary policy and exchange rate behaviour is complex. 12 Understanding particular outcomes in interest rates, the exchange rate, output and inflation therefore requires identifying the deeper or “structural” shocks causing macroeconomic fluctuations and the dynamics of how the economy responds to them.

12 Beyond the medium term and into the long term, the now-standard view of the effects of monetary policy is that in response to a fully-understood change in the amount of money in the economy, prices, costs and expectations will adjust so that the effect of the monetary policy change on real variables eventually disappears, i.e. money is "neutral". This still leaves room for possible long-run real effects of monetary policy through its role in stabilising the economy, which is generally expressed in the negative i.e. unstable inflation is bad for long-run output. A refinement of this view is that, assuming that volatility in general is bad for output (for example because of its adverse effects on investment), and monetary policy has choices in trading off volatility in inflation against that in other variables (with the nature of this trade-off being dependent on the particular fundamental shocks under consideration), monetary policy can affect long-run output through its effects on volatility. Evidence on the effects of volatility on long-run growth is not especially clear. See Kneller and Young (2001) for a useful discussion.
3.1 UNDERSTANDING CYCLICAL EXCHANGE RATE MOVEMENTS

A standard view of the exchange rate is that it is an asset price whose value is given by expected returns available in New Zealand relative to those abroad (see, for example, Munro, 2004). This principle is captured in the well-known UIP (uncovered interest parity) relation.

Because expectations are core to the asset price view, expected fundamentals and not just their current values are relevant to the determination of the exchange rate. Any of the things affecting the relative economic outlooks of New Zealand and the global economy, and thus relative expected returns, will affect the currency.13 And because a change in expectations affects the currency, the exchange rate can move in advance of things like monetary policy decisions, fiscal policy changes and the release of data on economic outcomes, to the extent that market participants continually update their views on these factors.

As we discuss below, empirical literature suggests a significant part of the movement in the exchange rate can be explained by relative house prices and world commodity prices (McDonald, 2012 and Munro, 2004), though this says nothing about whether movements in variables like house prices are driven by underlying activity or some sort of irrational behaviour.14 Monetary policy, in targeting inflation, also responds to these shocks since they influence the medium-term behaviour of inflation. Understanding moves in the exchange rate and interest rates generally requires understanding the underlying shocks. In general there is a positive co-movement of interest rates and the exchange rate, reflecting the preponderance of shocks to aggregate demand.

The macroeconomic balance view of the exchange rate offers a way to interpret exchange rate developments, by identifying a level of the exchange rate that would be consistent with definitions of internal balance (for example, output being at potential or unemployment at its equilibrium rate) and external balance (the current account balance being consistent with convergence to a sustainable long run net foreign debt position).

In practice, this identified level is often interpreted as the exchange rate that would prevail in medium-term equilibrium if the foreign debt position were stable at its current level as a proportion of the economy. In this view of the equilibrium exchange rate, prices have had time to adjust and cyclical influences have been removed, but debt stocks might still be away from and converging to their long run equilibrium values (see Graham and Steenkamp, 2012). Cyclically adjusted and macro-balance

---

13 Appendices B and C to an earlier version of this paper provide cross-country views of: how real exchange rate cycle characteristics compare to characteristics in GDP and unemployment cycles; and the volatility of GDP, unemployment, inflation and interest rates. See http://www.rbnz.govt.nz/research/workshops/Mar2013/5200821.pdf

14 Munro also observes that migration flows can help predict the exchange rate, suggesting the reason is that strong migration flows increase housing demand.
equilibrium exchange rates will therefore differ to the extent that the exchange rate is driven by non-cyclical factors such as portfolio shifts, such as those that might be caused by changes in the perceived relative risk of New Zealand assets or of its external sustainability.

After adjusting for imbalances in the internal economy or external accounts, a macro balance model tells us how much the exchange rate would have to shift to correct the imbalance, all else equal. It does not, however, shed light on how the overall economic adjustment (including that of the exchange rate) might play out, and so is not predictive in that sense.

3.2 NEW ZEALAND’S RECENT CYCLICAL EXPERIENCE

Here we focus specifically on cyclical behaviour of New Zealand’s exchange rate since the float in 1985 (Figure 7), in the context of how other variables moved as the business cycle unfolded. Most of the discussion draws on the analyses of past New Zealand business cycles in Brook, Collins and Smith (1998), Drew and Orr (1999), Reserve Bank of New Zealand (2000b and 2007), Chetwin (2012) and Chetwin and Reddell (2012).

Consistent with the view that the predominant real shocks in New Zealand have been aggregate demand shocks, the longer-lasting of New Zealand’s exchange rate cycles have coincided with strength in domestic property markets, global demand conditions and public spending, and in light of all those conditions, expectations of changing inflation pressure and the monetary policy stance. Large economic shocks stemming from abroad also at times affected the outlook for New Zealand’s export demand and commodity prices, leading to some quite sharp movements in the exchange rate.

Figure 7 – The real exchange rate since 1985 (Index 2010 = 100)

The long economic expansions in the mid-1990s and the mid-2000s coincided with similarly-long periods of appreciation in the real exchange rate. Similarly, the recessions and initial periods of recovery leading into the mid-1990s expansion and
following the East Asia Crisis in 1997 saw the exchange rate depreciate from mid-1988 to mid-1992 and from mid-1997 to the end of 2000.

Those sustained swings in the exchange rate make for an interesting contrast with a pair of quite sharp down-and-up movements later in the period. The exchange rate plunged and rebounded in a v-shaped movement between the end of 2005 and middle of 2007. From there, it dropped again even further, bounced back quickly and then continued to climb more gradually thereafter.

The sharp drop in 2005 and 2006 appears to have reflected expectations that the outlook for New Zealand in particular was weakening. Cumulative tightening in monetary policy was beginning to bear on domestic demand, and real commodity prices in world terms were easing somewhat from their 2005 levels.

The plunge from late-2007 reflected a major fall in the global growth outlook coinciding with weakening domestic conditions (and consequent expectations of easing monetary policy) and reduced international risk appetite. Investors appeared to believe that the factors that had driven a long period of economic strength were falling away quickly.

The rebound from the third quarter of 2009 was probably due to an investor emphasis on strong commodity prices rather than more-general strength. That quite-specific source of impetus, along with New Zealand’s quite-limited direct exposure to the causes of the Global Financial Crisis, contributed to New Zealand’s risk-adjusted outlook being strong relative to some of the major economies in the US and the European region.

McDonald (2012) provides more formal econometric evidence on a collection of variables that seems to have mattered for movements in the exchange rate since June 1986. Among a long list of candidate variables including interest rate differentials, the growth differential, commodity prices, equity prices, relative house prices and sales, immigration, and the current account balance, the analysis shows relative house price inflation, relative house sales volumes and world commodity prices – all of which can be thought of as indicators of domestic demand pressures and therefore the risk and return on holding the New Zealand dollar – were on average, the three most quantitatively important variables explaining movements in New Zealand’s exchange rate (Figure 8).

The models thus suggest that the exchange rate can be linked to observed macroeconomic data in a way consistent with the business-cycle view of exchange rate developments, even though substantial departures from these relationships can exist over shorter horizons of a few months.
A significant part of the recent strength in the New Zealand dollar can be attributed to the near-historic highs of New Zealand’s export commodity prices. Commodity prices help support spending through income growth and rural land prices, and thus support the wider economy. Housing market developments have also correlated strongly with the general business cycle in New Zealand and therefore also the exchange rate cycle. Current interest rate differentials tend to have low explanatory power once these other indicators are included in the models.

Figure 8 – Top three statistical drivers of the real exchange rate (deviation from mean)

In line with the evidence in McDonald (2012), large and long rises in house prices in the mid-1980s, mid-1990s and the mid-2000s had a shape roughly similar to the climbs in the exchange rate. The same can be said of the housing market downturns that followed. The periods of house price growth were part of a story of strong domestic demand, and so the relative growth outlook, inflation outlook and interest rate outlook of New Zealand.

As well as the global demand cycle, which was a dominant factor driving commodity prices, a number of large and unpredictable shocks affected New Zealand’s export demand and commodity prices over the sample. These included the East Asia Crisis in 1997-98, terrorist attacks of 11th September 2011 in the USA, SARS scare in 2003, and much later the Global Financial Crisis and subsequent sovereign debt problems. These events variously kicked off, worsened or prolonged weakness in economic demand, nervousness about New Zealand’s economic outlook, and reduced risk appetite, leading in all cases to sharp depreciations.

Some of those shocks, as well as domestic conditions, made for significant net migrant inflows early in each of the 1990s and 2000s expansions. In the short term,

15 Chen and Rogoff (2003) demonstrate the strong link between commodity prices and the exchange rates of commodity exporters such as Australia, Canada, and New Zealand. Preliminary work at the Reserve Bank suggests that volatility in commodity prices are an important driver of the exchange rate’s volatility in New Zealand.
strong migration probably added more to demand for consumer durables and for housing than to supply capacity, contributing to the rise in housing markets.

Domestically, government demand played an important role at times. Late in each of the 1990s and 2000s expansions when support from abroad began easing, government spending rose, helping to extend the expansion phases and pressure on domestic resources (Brook, 2012).

Procyclical financial market conditions contributed to the economic cycle over the sample period. Rising house prices may have boosted strong private demand and investment in the rural and residential property sectors. The mid-2000s also featured a period of compression of credit spreads worldwide amid ample global liquidity and a search for yield.

All of these factors contributed to substantial macroeconomic cycles in New Zealand. Indeed, cycles in real per capita GDP and in the unemployment rate have been larger in New Zealand since 1975 than in a collection of other advanced economies, according to the results of applying the same BB algorithm as we used in section 2 for real exchange rates. This observation suggests that the long and large exchange rate cycles in New Zealand may reflect long and large macroeconomic cycles. However, scatterplots of the relation between real exchange rate cycle characteristics and GDP per capita or unemployment rate cycle characteristics shows no particularly strong relation across countries, suggesting that the relationship is a more complex one.

4. EXPLAINING SHORT-TERM VOLATILITY

In this section, we look at the evidence on the degree of short-term noise in New Zealand exchange rate fluctuations – in other words, the component that is more difficult to reconcile with movements in medium term fundamentals. As noted in section 2, in absolute terms the New Zealand dollar (NZD) seems to be noisier at high frequencies than many other currencies.

4.1 UNDERSTANDING SHORT-TERM VOLATILITY

Short-term exchange rate fluctuations can appear very noisy compared to the path of the overall economy, and compared to longer run considerations such as relative purchasing power. Economic modellers often invoke a range of market “frictions” that slow the adjustment of certain prices to their equilibrium levels in response to shocks, meaning that quantities and faster-moving prices take the adjustment instead. Because economists generally view the exchange rate as the fastest moving price in the economy, such frictions tend to generate increased volatility of the exchange rate in the face of certain shocks compared to the “frictionless” case.\(^\text{16}\) For example, in the

\(^{16}\) This could exacerbate the effects of exchange rate movements on the real economy and welfare. Policy aiming to reduce these frictions can help reduce volatility from this source. The horizon where rigidities are most relevant is an empirical question.
seminal Dornbusch (1976) “overshooting” model, sticky prices in goods markets imply a jumpier exchange rate in response to shocks because goods prices, by construction, do not adjust as much as they would under flexible-price assumptions. Sticky prices are now a standard feature of models of business cycle dynamics (see for example, Galí and Monacelli, 2005).

Because the exchange rate tends to jump in response to news, and news arrives daily or more frequently, the jumpiness at high frequencies appears much more related to very rapid adjustments to expectations among traders than to the slower-moving measured fundamentals of the economy. Transactions where buyers or sellers hold open positions for only very short periods, measured on intraday or daily timescales, tend to represent the majority of daily foreign exchange volumes in New Zealand currency trading, far in excess of transactions needed to settle or hedge trade in goods and services (Munro, 2004).17

Over short horizons, high-frequency foreign exchange trading therefore swamps the influence of the cyclical drivers of currencies. And while such high-frequency activity increases liquidity, it may also increase volatility by encouraging herding behaviour.18

Foreign currency traders continually update their expectations of relative rates of return across countries. These, and attitudes to risk, are in turn based on actual and expected relative interest rate settings and relative growth and inflation.

If the bulk of news is about the future, the present value of expected future fundamentals may be more volatile than the current values of fundamentals. Changes in expected relative returns are capitalised immediately into the current level of the exchange rate. Market sentiment and risk appetite can also affect flows in currency markets and the exchange rate. While bouts of waning appetite for more risky New Zealand assets might put downward pressure on the currency, an increase in uncertainty about the global economy might have the same effect as investors move funds to “safe haven” assets.

Countries with higher interest rates seem to attract more of the so-called “carry-trade” investment into domestic currency-denominated bonds. The development of New Zealand dollar spot and swap foreign exchange markets and an offshore market for NZD-denominated bonds may also reflect institutional factors such as the credibility of

17 The NZD gets a disproportionate share of global foreign exchange (FX) trade (at 1.6 percent, BIS 2010). Of total NZD transactions, only 36 percent are spot transactions, with the bulk being currency swaps (55 percent), according to the BIS (2010). Offshore activity accounts for around 90 percent of NZD turnover, while total daily FX trade represents over 100 percent of New Zealand’s merchandise trade (McCauley and Scatigna, 2011). While there appears to be a positive relationship between a country’s ratio of FX turnover to trade and its income per capita, New Zealand is still an outlier, with the world’s second highest turnover-to-trade ratio, behind the US.

18 Foreign exchange markets are subject to very short term and simple trading strategies (e.g. chartist or “technical” approaches, including the widespread practice of extrapolative, or “momentum” trading).
New Zealand’s inflation targeting regime, a general lack of intervention in currency markets and a relatively strong sovereign credit rating.19

4.2 NEW ZEALAND’S RECENT EXPERIENCE OF SHORT-TERM VOLATILITY

The relationship between the exchange rate and its various determinants seems to change over time. Cassino and Wallis (2010) use a regime-switching model to examine how financial market participants focus on different individual drivers of the exchange rate (commodity prices, interest rates or risk appetite) at different points in time. Risk appetite has, for example, at times replaced changes in relative interest rates as the key factor driving exchange rate movements at short-term horizons. However, those risk perceptions could possibly be seen as manifestations of relative fundamentals. It is also difficult to measure market expectations. This, in turn, makes it hard to assess the desirability of policy trying to lean against high-frequency volatility.

In New Zealand, noise appears at times to be as substantial a component of volatility as the fundamentals-driven component of the short-term cycle. Over the most recent past, the portion of the unexplained component of the exchange rate in the McDonald (2012) indicator model discussed in Section 3 has been unusually large (Figure 9).20 That model suggests that 90 percent of the departures of the exchange rate from the model’s prediction are up to 7-8 percent in magnitude.

This component unexplained by the indicator model captures un-modelled influences such as differences in traders’ views of the future (which cannot be observed) compared to the view implicit in the observed indicators and model structure, any effects of the simple very short-term trading strategies mentioned above that might be only tenuously linked to fundamentals, and more general measurement and specification errors.

An alternative “structural” approach to explaining exchange rate variance is also presented in McDonald (2012), which enables the decomposition of exchange rate movements into international, domestic and residual contributions due to un-modelled factors such as market sentiment. That model suggests that beyond a horizon of about one year, the predominant drivers of unexpected exchange rate developments are international factors (foreign output, prices and interest rates, as well as commodity prices) (Figure 10). Un-modelled idiosyncratic influences, such as (in this case) risk-on/risk-off sentiment, however, can explain a high proportion of exchange rate fluctuations in the very short run. While risk preferences and changes in perceptions of the global outlook might be expected to relate to current (and

---

19 It is unclear, though, whether offshore issuance activity contributes to exchange rate volatility. Work by Drage, Munro and Sleeman (2005) suggests that issuance of offshore NZD denominated bonds does not have a large impact on the exchange rate.

20 The indicators in the statistical models are: real commodity prices, terms of trade, real house prices, house sales, relative output gaps, current account, short-term interest rate differentials, long-term interest rate differentials, net migration, relative share market movements.
expected) relative fundamentals, they also appear to be volatile and not very enduring, which is consistent with the tailing-off of their estimated influence as the horizon lengthens, as in this work.

**Figure 9 – Residual component of real TWI in statistical model (percent deviation)**

![Residual component of real TWI](image)

Source: McDonald (2012). The three black lines indicate the 10th, 50th and 90th percentiles of the residuals from the statistical model in McDonald (2012).

**Figure 10 – Contribution to real exchange rate deviations from mean**

![Contribution to real exchange rate deviations](image)

Source: The structural vector autoregression (SVAR) model from McDonald (2012) was used for this decomposition.

A third way to think about whether exchange rate volatility is “warranted” by the fundamentals is to compare exchange rate volatility to volatility in its underlying drivers. Because the exchange rate should embed expectations of all its fundamental determinants, it may have a similar volatility to its fundamentals.
We compared the unconditional realised volatility of short and long-term interest rates, unemployment and output across countries. While volatility in New Zealand’s real GDP is higher than the sample mean (by some measures), New Zealand’s unemployment volatility does not stand out. The volatility of New Zealand interest rates and inflation have also been relatively high.21

This suggests, tentatively, that relatively high short-term volatility in New Zealand’s exchange rate may have been “warranted” in the sense that it reflects relatively high short-term volatility in New Zealand’s fundamentals. Preliminary work by Karagedikli, Ryan, Steenkamp and Vehbi (2013) using techniques to extract common factors from a large number of potential fundamental variables suggests that large parts of the exchange rate variation can be explained by fundamentals, and that the independent role of exchange rate as a source of shock – i.e. not explained by fundamentals – is limited. This could suggest that in New Zealand’s case, at least some exchange rate movements could represent a “buffer” for the macroeconomy against shocks.

The overall impression from these studies is that while current values of indicators of New Zealand’s fundamentals may explain at least some, and in some cases much, of the volatility in the exchange rate, there appears to remain a material proportion that is unexplained. The unexplained proportion could, in principle, reflect bouts of irrationality or herding behaviour that are difficult to capture in economic models, as well as inaccurate measurement of the relevant fundamentals and their expectations. To that extent, the exchange rate may not always play a buffering role.

5. IMPACT OF VOLATILITY ON THE ECONOMY

A case for policy intervention to counteract volatility requires not only an understanding of the causes of volatility, but also a view that its consequences are adverse and that a proposed response would be both effective and would not lead to worse problems. Some advocates of policies aimed at reducing exchange rate volatility argue, for example, that a pure float increases macroeconomic volatility and discourages trade and productive investment, thereby permanently reducing potential output. The complexity of the relationship between the exchange rate and trade, however, makes it difficult to find conclusive empirical evidence about the impact of exchange rate volatility on trade at an aggregate level.

There is a host of reasons why volatility might adversely affect particular externally-exposed sectors or industries. Exchange rate volatility can have detrimental effects because it creates uncertainty that makes it difficult for trade-exposed businesses to plan. That could affect economic activity in aggregate if there were a lasting effect on how firms allocate resources. For example, volatility might cause firms to be wary.

21 Reviewing the New Zealand macroeconomic volatility experience, McKelvie and Hall (2012) found that macroeconomic volatility has decreased since 1987, while there has been an increase in persistence of prices and real variables.
about incurring the ‘sunk’ costs in developing new markets and distribution infrastructure.

Some exchange rate volatility over the short term may however be a good thing if the economy is prone to shocks and the exchange rate moves to act as a buffer, for example, by depreciating when export prices fall or there is external turmoil. Because domestic prices and wages are often slow to change when foreign prices change, the exchange rate helps relative prices and wages adjust, helping to reduce the impact of shocks on the economy’s competitiveness. By contrast, if the exchange rate moves because of a “portfolio” shock – e.g. a change in risk appetite rather than in underlying relative demand conditions – the exchange rate may not play that buffering role.

Over a short horizon, the impact of exchange rate volatility depends on how exports and imports are priced – e.g. whether the sellers are price setters or takers, and the currency in which prices are set – and the degree to which firms are able or willing to adjust their margins. If export prices are set in producers’ domestic currency, an unexpected appreciation of the exchange rate will tend to be associated with larger exchange rate pass-through to prices – in particular - import prices would tend to fall relative to locally produced goods. If export prices are instead set in the currencies of importers, and prices in goods and services markets were sticky, the New Zealand dollar price of imports and foreign currency prices of New Zealand exports would be slow to change when the exchange rate moves. Low (and slow) exchange rate pass-through in the latter case may have implications for optimal monetary policy (see Section 6.2.3 and Graham and Smith 2013, forthcoming) as the exchange rate may not act as an efficient shock-adjustment mechanism and, movements in it can result in inefficient allocations of resources and consumption.

Volatility may also increase the cost of hedging against currency changes. Long periods of persistent exchange rate over(under)shooting may also cause supply of hedging products to decline. By increasing the cost of hedging and business risk, volatility may impact the hurdle rate for investment in New Zealand. That said, New Zealand has a relatively sophisticated financial sector offering hedging instruments at reasonable cost, which allows firms to mitigate some of the effects of exchange rate fluctuations, at least over shorter horizons.

Low volatility, while it may give more certainty to firms that compete with international sellers, might attract persistent capital flows (such as carry trade focused on taking advantage of risk-adjusted returns, with the risk falling when expected volatility in exchange rates falls). That could contribute to long periods over which the exchange rate is above or below what underlying economic conditions would otherwise suggest. Ultimately, such over(under)shooting may be followed by rapid reversion to the mean.

---

22 Sticky prices can result from various factors, including non-traded costs, mark-up adjustment or nominal price rigidities.
On the other hand, a degree of exchange rate volatility, like any volatility in the economy, might promote aggregate productivity if the firms that are able to survive are the more productive ones, and if it encourages investment that reallocates resources to the more-productive areas.

To summarise, the real economic effects of the exchange rate are likely to be more significant at the cyclical frequency than for short term noise in the currency. The cyclical frequency corresponds most closely to investment planning horizons and decisions about where to allocate productive resources. It is also probably more costly – financially and because of uncertainty about the outlook for demand – to hedge against exchange rate risk over this horizon (Mabin 2011 and Sanderson, 2009). The argument that follows is that cyclical exchange rate movements – particularly if persistent – could have lasting effects on the composition and destination of production and composition and source of consumption.

6. POLICY OPTIONS

In this section, we look at various policy options that could be considered in the case where damage to the economy from exchange rate volatility can be shown.

6.1 CHOOSING A DIFFERENT POINT ON THE TRILEMMA

Chetwin and Munro (2013) discuss the scope to seek more control over the exchange rate by fundamentally changing the combination of monetary, exchange rate and capital account policies – choices in respect of the "trilemma" hypothesis. The trilemma implies that a country open to capital flows will face a trade-off between controlling domestic interest rates and controlling the exchange rate; and that the trade-off can be made less-binding only by reducing openness to capital flows.

Moving away from New Zealand’s current choices of an essentially free float, open capital markets and monetary policy focused on domestic variables would imply a change in the nature of economic adjustment to shocks and changing international conditions. A less-variable exchange rate would put more of the adjustment burden on domestic prices and output, resulting in greater volatility in output and employment (see for example Stephens, 2006).

One way for policy to reduce exchange rate variability would be to try to constrain capital flows, to allow some degree of simultaneous control over both monetary policy and the exchange rate. This might be pursued through explicit capital or exchange controls, which target the flow of capital and trading in exchange markets. However, these come with costs that need to be considered. In particular, they have efficiency costs and reduce access to offshore funding. There are also questions about their ability to influence the volume of capital flows for any sustained period (e.g. Habermeier, Kokenyne and Baba, 2011). Trying to keep the capital account open and manage both interest and exchange rates would require a substantial stock of foreign
reserves. That can be costly and expose the Government to considerable exchange rate risk, and scope to influence the exchange rate through intervention, while managing interest rates for another objective, is likely to be limited beyond smoothing shorter-term movements.

Trying to manage both interest rates and the exchange rate involves increased institutional complexity, because the policy task – managing a number of objectives that at times can conflict with one another – becomes much more complex. That can reduce the effectiveness and efficiency of domestic policy institutions and regulation. It also brings a considerably greater risk of costly policy failure, such as a financial loss on intervention and sudden sharp shifts in the exchange rate if a peg were abandoned, or the multiple objectives causing a loss in the credibility of monetary policy’s commitment to managing inflation which in turn would allow a rise in the level and volatility of inflation and expectations of inflation.

Measures to restrict capital flows interfere with a country’s access to foreign financing, raising the cost of capital. Constraining capital flows might also reduce the opportunities for hedging, by removing from the market natural counterparties for those wanting to hedge. Further, a fixed exchange rate reduces private incentives to manage exposure to exchange rate risk through hedging contracts and other strategies. That means agents will have significant exposure in the event that a build-up of pressure should lead to a sudden shift in the exchange rate.

6.2 OTHER MEASURES FOR RESPONDING TO MEDIUM-TERM VOLATILITY

Because the option of moving away from New Zealand’s current choice of an open capital account, floating exchange rate and inflation targeting monetary policy would have such far-reaching structural and institutional ramifications, the policy options we discuss from here take as given the current choice of a floating regime with open capital markets.

Within New Zealand’s set of policy choices, we consider policy interventions relating to volatility attributable to fundamentals as distinct from that relating to noise. However, a general caveat is that policymakers’ ability to distinguish noise-generated volatility from that driven by fundamentals is limited, especially in real time. Models that try to estimate the extent of “excessive” volatility of the exchange rate are inevitably subject to considerable uncertainty, as suggested by the range of results from the modelling approaches reviewed in sections 3 and 4. This makes it difficult to determine whether and exactly when to try to lean against exchange rate volatility, even if policymakers are generally prepared to lean if circumstances warrant it.

6.2.1 ENHANCING THE FLEXIBILITY OF THE ECONOMY

The long and large cycles in New Zealand’s real exchange rate across a number of quite different nominal exchange rate regimes and several decades (Sullivan, 2013)
may suggest structural factors play a role. These structural factors can be barriers to the economy’s flexibility in the face of external shocks, as well as to New Zealand’s underlying cost competitiveness generally. For example, there might be barriers to the economy shifting resources to and from trade-exposed sectors when relative prices change. Monetary policy and exchange rate policy probably have little sway over these factors, but other policy areas may be able to assist adjustment and promote smooth adjustment to shocks.

Addressing financial frictions (such as balance sheet constraints on arbitrage, or incentives to take excessive short-term risk) that amplify the demand effects of business cycles might dampen the contribution of those frictions to changes in economic momentum, reducing the work required of monetary policy. Financial regulatory policy, for example, may help improve risk-management incentives (e.g. via capital and liquidity requirements) and strengthen arbitrage incentives (e.g. by promoting deep and complete financial markets).

Enhancing the supply of hedging products may help to reduce the impact of volatility on the economy. Whether more hedging instruments are ultimately stabilising may depend, however, on how expectations are formed and how agents learn (see Brock, Hommes and Wagener, 2009, for example).

In any economy, market expectations may fluctuate between overly optimistic or pessimistic views of relative asset returns. Policy can help economic adjustment by being transparent and sufficiently systematic that the market can infer reaction functions over time.

6.2.2 NON-MONETARY STABILISATION MEASURES

Pro-cyclical fiscal policy exacerbates demand pressure and inflation pressure in the upswing, requiring monetary policy to be more active. This can arise particularly in sustained economic upswings, when current revenue relieves constraints on spending and can look increasingly like a structural rise in revenue rather than a cyclical one. Procyclicality tends to be less of a problem in business cycle downswings, because a government with a prudent debt position can borrow, alleviating to some degree the need for a Government to reduce spending. Policy frameworks that make fiscal spending less procyclical may help reduce the amplitude of the exchange rate cycle by relieving pressure on monetary policy (see, for example, Brook, 2012).

Macro-prudential policies seek to enhance financial system resilience in the face of shocks and cyclical downturns, and to limit the build-up of financial risks in upswings. Such outcomes can help reduce economic cyclicality in downturns, but any direct effects of macroprudential measures in the upswing are unlikely to be major. To the extent that macro-prudential measures can constrain the contribution of the financial system to domestic cycles, they may reduce the need for monetary policy action, and
this would affect changes in interest differentials between home and foreign countries, in turn limiting interest-arbitrage incentives for money to flow into or out of the domestic currency. That, in turn, could help to relieve pressure on the exchange rate (see Reserve Bank of New Zealand and Treasury, 2006). In cases where prudential measures focus on foreign exchange risk on balance sheets, they might also affect portfolio choice in ways that at the margin reduce exposure to swings in capital flows and the exchange rate, and so potentially exchange rate volatility in response to shocks.

Macropurudential tools should be seen as a complement to, not a substitute for, monetary policy as the primary tool for demand management. Likewise, the effects of financial sector interventions including macroprudential tools on capital flows and exchange rate levels are uncertain and could well be limited (Habermeier, Kokenyne and Baba, 2011). Adjusting such tools to such a degree that they would influence financial flows or the business cycle in a significant way would probably require quite major departures from the principle of risk-sensitivity in financial stability regulation, and thus could cause rather than correct distortions.

6.2.3 MONETARY POLICY MEASURES

The review of the medium-term exchange rate volatility experience in sections 2 and 3 emphasised that exchange rate cycles can to a large extent be explained by the cycles of real aggregate demand and supply and the fundamental domestic and foreign influences on them. Cycles in the level of aggregate demand relative to supply capacity imply variations in inflation pressure, so monetary policy also responds to such cycles. In most countries, shocks to aggregate demand tend to dominate those to aggregate supply, so interest rates and exchange rates tend to move in the same direction.

In New Zealand, as in other countries that are credibly committed to price stability as part of the macroeconomic policy framework, monetary policy has some discretion in responding to an economic shock. This includes the scope to take exchange rate considerations into account – within the overall constraint of maintaining price stability.

Since the passage of the RBNZ Act 1989 required monetary policy conduct to be governed by a Policy Targets Agreement (PTA), all PTAs have given operational effect to the principle of flexible inflation targeting, by which the Reserve Bank seeks to maintain price stability. Flexible inflation targeting as the organising framework for monetary policy is now mainstream in more than 20 countries worldwide (Roger, 2009), and it is not obvious that there are large potential gains in macroeconomic performance to be had from variations to the flexible inflation targeting approach. Despite some differences in inflation targeting frameworks, which appear mostly to be matters of form rather than substance, a common pattern of low and stable inflation
has been established in New Zealand and other developed countries since the mid-
1990s.\(^\text{23}\)

In the New Zealand case, the PTA codifies the idea of balancing constraint with
flexibility, so as to stabilise inflation expectations while also allowing room for
monetary policy to exercise some discretion in responding to shocks of different types
as they arise. Successive amendments to the PTA have tended explicitly to allow for
more flexibility (see Reserve Bank of New Zealand, 2000a for a discussion).

Because monetary policy’s response to shocks affects not only the current policy rate
but the future path of interest rates, the monetary policy response is a factor
determining how the exchange rate responds to the shock. The PTA’s current policy
target of “future CPI inflation outcomes between one percent and three percent on
average over the medium term, with a focus on keeping future average inflation near
the two percent target midpoint” provides quantitative guideposts for the extent of
flexibility. PTA clause 3 illuminates further, giving examples of events with temporary
effects to which the monetary policy might be expected to respond using the flexibility
afforded by the policy target. Finally, clause 4(b) requires the Bank to seek to avoid
unnecessary instability in output, interest rates and the exchange rate in its pursuit of
price stability, which is perhaps the most obvious clause by which one might expect
the Bank’s choices of monetary policy response to be coloured by exchange rate
volatility considerations.

In responding to shocks, the Reserve Bank has for some time used the flexibility
afforded by the PTA to take account of the concerns identified in clause 4(b). During
the height of the mid-2000s upswing for example, concern about the high exchange
rate, and a view that cumulative policy tightening and the high exchange rate would
lead demand and inflation pressure to ease further ahead, perhaps led to the OCR
being raised less aggressively than otherwise in the face of high non-tradables
inflation. And in responding to shocks caused by exchange rate movements, the Bank
has generally tried to look through the immediate effects on prices and inflation,
focusing instead on the effect on medium term inflation pressure through changes in
tradables sector incomes and aggregate demand. Again in line with clause 4(b) of the
PTA, this reflects concern that offsetting the first round effects on inflation would
mean a more volatile OCR and possibly output and prices over the medium term (e.g.

More-recent academic literature on monetary policy has started to explore departures
from the law of one price as a reason for monetary policy to lean against exchange
rate movements independently of their effects on inflation.\(^\text{24}\) In this view, such

\(^{23}\) Indeed, it is becoming more so, with the Federal Reserve Board of Governors (2012) in the US and the Bank of
Japan (2012) recently announcing inflation targets.

\(^{24}\) Such deviations are sometimes viewed as resulting from delayed pass-through of changes in domestic currency
import prices to retail prices, although Cavallo, Neiman and Rigobon (2012) suggest that deviations might instead
reflect differences in prices when new goods are introduced, rather than reflecting stickiness in prices. Theoretical
deviations cause costly misallocations of resources and so should be considered as relevant distortions to be leaned against by monetary policy, in the same way that distortions caused by price rigidities are now accepted in mainstream monetary theory as warranting a monetary policy offset.

In most models, the typical gains from adding such exchange rate considerations to monetary policy objectives are small. Balanced against these considerations are the risks of reducing the central bank’s policy credibility and hence causing more volatile inflation expectations, if the approach causes a breach of monetary policy targets. Financial market participants act on expectations of future policy and economic conditions, and not just on the current settings. Many market participants would expect a subsequent policy reversal if settings were inconsistent with inflation objectives, and so the exchange rate might move little. At the same time, the action could damage the Reserve Bank’s perceived commitment to price stability and allow actual inflation to rise. The loss of credibility could raise the perceived risk of investing in the country and possibly increasing exchange rate volatility.

Trying to limit the amplitude of the exchange rate cycle by using interest rates, without carefully and transparently reorienting the Reserve Bank’s objectives and underlining that the overall commitment to price stability remains, risks confusing the public about those objectives, and causing inflation expectations to become unanchored. Having said that, the emerging literature exploring distortions in markets that are exposed to exchange rate fluctuations and their monetary policy implications warrants continued monitoring.

6.3 MEASURES TO REDUCE SHORT-TERM VOLATILITY AND ITS IMPACT

The impacts of exchange rate volatility over short-term horizons might be reduced by the availability of hedging products and perhaps by prudential policies that ensure exchange rate risk is prudently managed.

Also, despite the difficulty of identifying and successfully leaning against noise, many central banks reserve the capacity to intervene (either unilaterally or with the approval of the government) in foreign exchange markets when it is felt that noise can usefully be reduced. While foreign currency intervention is the most commonly applied policy instrument, some countries have also used capital controls.

It is generally accepted that such measures are probably not durably effective if there are strong fundamental reasons for capital in(out)flows and appreciation (depreciation) pressure (e.g. Habermeier, Kokenyne and Baba 2011 on capital flow measures and Adler and Tovar, 2011 on the effectiveness of intervention). Moreover, all such non-interest rate financial policy measures can be expensive and risky

work indicates that the mechanism of price-setting and the openness of the economy are relevant to the inefficiencies caused by departures from the law of one price.
At best, intervention may be able to reduce the amplitude of the currency’s cycle around its long-term average. That is not to say that intervention might not work when the exchange rate is extremely misaligned or that it might not limit short-term volatility in the foreign exchange market during a time of market disorder or thin trading.

The Reserve Bank’s intervention policy stipulates that intervention be limited to periods where there is market dysfunction or strong evidence of misalignment, as opposed to trying to engineer level shifts or keep the level of the exchange rate within certain bounds or trying to use discretionary intervention to smooth movements in the exchange rate such as in Singapore. There is a high threshold for intervention, with the requirement that it is consistent with the stance of monetary policy and that it has a high probability of success.

7. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we presented some simple measures of the short-term and cyclical volatility of the New Zealand real exchange rate. We showed that while New Zealand’s exchange rate volatility is not so high as to make it an outlier over a short-run frequency, exchange rate volatility has been high compared to the median of the countries considered. Over a business cycle frequency, New Zealand’s exchange rate has been more volatile than many of its peers.

We also explored the reasons for the experienced cycles and short-term noise seen over several decades in New Zealand. There is evidence that the exchange rate is prone to ‘overshooting’, sometimes persistently, in the sense that its movements are quite jumpy compared to observed changes in economic fundamentals. Departures of the exchange rate from its longer-run trends can be quite large and prolonged.

We argued that the volatility of domestic demand has played an important role in the volatility of New Zealand’s exchange rate. The volatility is quite consistent with models of equilibration of the economy when it is hit by shocks and when there are rigidities or frictions in other parts of the economy. Policy aiming to reduce exchange rate volatility can therefore focus on addressing the domestic sources of economic volatility and the rigidities that might prevent the economy responding quickly to shocks, and improving the economy’s resilience to economic and financial volatility.

Monetary policy might be able to make a difference to nominal exchange rate volatility at the margin, but it is unable to deliver persistent reductions in the path of the real exchange rate. Other government policies affecting demand, such as fiscal policy, are likely to have a greater and more durable impact. Measures to make the economy

---

25 The extent of foreign trade in the New Zealand dollar also limits the potential impact of intervention and implies that the Bank risks imposing losses on taxpayers. The 2010 triennial survey of foreign exchange market activity by the Bank for International Settlements shows daily New Zealand dollar turnover was about 45 percent of GDP (BIS, 2010).
more flexible may also be able to reduce the reactivity of the exchange rate to shocks. Direct foreign currency intervention measures are unlikely to make a difference to the cyclical volatility of the real exchange rate, but may be able, at times, to reduce the effects on short-term fluctuations of simplistic trading rules or poorly formed expectations.

Future work should:

- consider market measures of volatility (such as implied volatility from options prices) and especially measures of conditional volatility;

- identify different types of shocks and consider their importance in explaining exchange rate volatility – which would probably require taking a stand on the temporariness or permanence of the shocks; and

- use volatility measures in structural models to examine the potential effect of changes in volatility on aggregate and sectoral growth performance.
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APPENDIX A

Bry-Boschan turning points in New Zealand’s real exchange rate

Bry-Boschan turning points in real exchange rates by country
(log scale; identified peaks and troughs shown in green line; minimum TP/PT=2; minimum PTP/TPT=5. See Appendix B for country codes)
## APPENDIX B

### Country codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AU Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AT Austria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BE Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CA Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>TW Chinese Taipei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DK Denmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>XM Euro area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>FI Finland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>FR France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>DE Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>GR Greece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>HK Hong Kong SAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>IE Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>IT Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>JP Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>KR Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>MX Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>NL Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>NZ New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>NO Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>PT Portugal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>SG Singapore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>ES Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>SE Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>CH Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>GB United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>US United States</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>